1	LISA A. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 007491 LAW OFFICE OF LISA RASMUSSEN,	
3	ESQ. 616 South 8 <sup>th</sup> Street	
	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 471-6565	
5	Facsimile: (702) 471-6540 Lisa@LRasmussenLaw.com	
6	WILLIAM A. BREWER III, ESQ. Texas State Bar No. 02967035 Pro Hac Vice to be filed	
7	MICHAEL J. COLLINS, ESQ. Texas State Bar No. 00785495	
8	Pro Hac Vice to be filed ROBERT M. MILLIMET, ESQ. Texas State Bar No. 24025538	
9	Pro Hac Vice to be filed BICKEL & BREWER	
10	1717 Main Street, Suite 4800 Dallas, Texas 75201	
11	Telephone: (214) 653-4000 Facsimile: (214) 653-1015 Email: wab@bickelbrewer.com	
12	mjc@bickelbrewer.com rrm@bickelbrewer.com	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14		
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	District of Nevada	
18	LEONARD C. ADAMS, et al.,	) Case No. 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ-VPC
19		)
20	Plaintiffs, v.	<ul><li>SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND</li><li>JURY DEMAND</li></ul>
21	SILAR ADVISORS, LP, et al.,	) (Adds and Deletes Plaintiffs only)
22	Defendants.	
23		_)
24	Plaintiffs on personal information as to	their own actions and on information and helief as to
25	Plaintiffs, on personal information as to their own actions and on information and belief as to	
26	all other matters, for themselves and on behalf of those similarly situated, hereby aver and seek relief	
27	against Defendants as set forth below:	
28		

#### I.

### **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

This is the follow-on lawsuit by at least 1,188 fractional beneficial interest holders ("direct lenders"), as well as other similarly situated direct lenders represented by Bickel & Brewer, to the action pending before the Honorable Robert C. Jones (the "District Court") that is styled 3685 San Fernando Lenders, LLC, et al. v. Compass USA SPE, LLC, et al., Case No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF ("892 Case"). This action is also "related to" the chapter 7 cases of debtors Asset Resolution LLC ("Asset Resolution") and fourteen related special purpose entities (collectively with Asset Resolution, "Debtors") pending before the District Court in the action styled In re Asset Resolution LLC, et al., Case No. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ (the "Bankruptcy Cases"). Plaintiffs are direct lenders in commercial mortgage investment loans (the "Loans") originated and originally serviced by USA Commercial Mortgage Company (collectively, "USACM"). The direct lenders financed the Loans. Defendants were (or are associated with) successors in interest to USACM's loan servicing rights in connection with the Loans.

The plight of the direct lenders is well-known to the District Court. Beginning first with USACM's filing for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the Honorable Linda B. Riegle) after it was no longer able to perpetuate its fraudulent activities and conceal from the direct lenders that the Loans were in default, and then continuing with the perpetuation and exacerbation of the harm caused by USACM to the direct lenders by Defendants, Plaintiffs have been repeatedly victimized by the avarice of their purported loan servicing professionals and their co-conspirators. Indeed, many direct lenders are retirees who have lost their life savings as a result of Defendants' loan servicing. Accordingly, by this action, Plaintiffs seek to recover their extensive financial losses.

II.

#### THE PARTIES

## A. Plaintiffs

1. Exhibit A attached hereto lists the named Plaintiffs, all of whom are direct lenders that invested in the Loans. Plaintiffs reside in numerous states. Plaintiffs are largely elderly who invested substantial life savings in the Loans.

#### B. <u>Defendants</u>

- 2. Defendant Silar Advisors, LP is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York. Silar wholly owns Asset Resolution.
- 3. Defendant Compass Partners, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 4. Defendant Compass USA SPE, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 5. Defendant Compass Financial Partners, LLC is a limited liability company that was organized under the laws of the State of Nevada and that had its principal place of business in the State of Nevada.
- 6. Defendant Compass Financial Partners, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 7. Defendant Compass FP Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of California.

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

26

25

27

- 8. Defendant Compass USA Holding, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 9. Defendant Compass USA, LP is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 10. Defendant Compass USA GP, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- Defendants Compass Partners, LLC, Compass USA SPE, LLC, Compass Financial 11. Partners, LLC (Nevada and Delaware), Compass FP Corp., Compass USA Holding, LLC, Compass USA, LP, and Compass USA GP, LLC are collectively referred to herein as "Compass."
- 12. Defendant Leonard Mezei ("Mezei") is a resident of the State of New York and is a principal of Compass.
- 13. Defendant David Blatt ("Blatt") is a resident of the State of New York and was a principal of Compass.
- 14. Defendant Boris Piskun ("Piskun") is a resident of the State of California and was a principal of Compass.
- 15. Defendant Jay Cohen ("Cohen") is a resident of the State of New York and is a principal of Compass.
- 16. Defendant Ron Friedman ("Friedman") is a resident of the State of New York and is a principal of Compass.
- Defendant Silar Special Opportunities Fund, LP is a limited liability company 17. organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

- 18. Defendant SMOF A, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York. SMOF A, LLC funded Asset Resolution's operations.
- 19. Defendant SSOP, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 20. Defendants Silar Advisors, LP, Silar Special Opportunities Fund, LP, SMOF A, LLC, and SSOP II, LLC are collectively referred to herein as "Silar."
- 21. Defendant Robert Leeds ("Leeds") is a resident of the State of New York, is a principal of Silar, and was a pre-petition principal of Asset Resolution.
- 22. Defendant Jay Gracin ("Gracin") is a resident of the State of New York, is a principal of Silar, and was a pre-petition principal of Asset Resolution.
- 23. Defendant Hin-King Tai ("Tai") is a resident of the State of New York and is a principal of Silar.
- 24. Defendant Cade Liu ("Liu") is a resident of the State of New York. Liu was a former employee of Compass and later became an employee of Silar.
- 25. Defendant Gary Fragin ("Fragin") is a resident of the State of New York. Fragin was a founder and principal of Silar.
- 26. Defendant Mark Olson ("Olson") is a resident of the State of Nevada. Olson was the Chief Operating Officer and Director of Marketing for USACM, and later became the Director of Investor Relations for Compass.
- 27. Defendant Windemere Capital, LLC ("Windemere") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business in the State of Nevada.

- 28. Defendant Oakbridge Capital Inc. ("Oakbridge") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of Delaware. Mezei is a principal of Oakbridge.
- 29. Defendant Economic Growth Group, Inc. ("EGG") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business in the State of New York. Mezei is the principal of EGG.
- 30. Defendant Repotex, Inc. ("Repotex") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York. Mezei is a principal of Repotex.
- 31. Defendant Servicing Oversight Solutions, LLC ("SOS") is a limited liability company that was organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut and that had its principal place of business in the State of Connecticut.
- 32. Defendant Michael D. Reiner ("Reiner") is a resident of the State of Connecticut and was a principal of SOS.
- 33. Defendant Tyson Lomazow ("Lomazow") is a resident of the State of New York. Lomazow served as legal counsel to Compass in connection with the Loans from 2007-2008.
- 34. Defendant Great White NV, Inc. ("Great White") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business in the State of Nevada.
- 35. Defendant Craig Orrock ("Orrock") is a resident of the State of Nevada and is a principal of Great White.
- 36. Defendant Citron Investment Group, Inc. ("Citron") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, with its principal place of business in the State of Florida. Citron was a Florida subservicer for Compass.

- 37. Defendant Michael Citron is a resident of the State of Florida and is a principal of Citron.
- 38. Defendant Danielle Citron is a resident of the State of Florida and is a principal of Citron.
- 39. Michael and Danielle Citron are collectively referred to herein as the "Citron Defendants."

#### III.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 40. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1334, and 1367.
  - 41. Venue for this case in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

#### IV.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- A. Prior Proceedings: The USACM Bankruptcy, The 892 Case, And The Bankruptcy Cases
  - 42. On April 13, 2006, USACM filed for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 43. USACM, which was a licensed mortgage broker under Chapter 645B of the Nevada Revised Statutes, originated and originally serviced the Loans.
  - 44. Plaintiffs are direct lenders in the Loans.
- 45. The Loans were made by USACM on behalf of the direct lenders to third-party borrowers and secured by real property interests. The Loans are each evidenced by a "Promissory Note Secured By a Deed of Trust" or a "Promissory Note Secured By a Mortgage," which were executed by third-party borrowers in favor of the direct lenders in each of the Loans. USACM was not a party to the Loans, including the Promissory Notes. Nevada law expressly governs the Loans.

- 46. USACM serviced the Loans pursuant to various Loan Servicing Agreements (the "LSAs") that it entered into separately with each of the direct lenders. Every direct lender was supposed to execute a single LSA that purported to cover every investment he or she subsequently made with USACM. Nevada law expressly governed the LSAs, which were binding on all successors in interest.
- 47. Pursuant to an auction held on December 6, 2007, and effective February 16, 2007, Compass acquired as the successful auction bidder: (i) USACM's loan servicing rights in connection with the Loans pursuant to the LSAs; and (ii) certain fractional beneficial interests held by an affiliate of USACM in the Loans (collectively, the "Purchased Assets"). Compass's acquisition of the Purchased Assets was approved by Judge Riegle in a "Confirmation Order" entered on January 8, 2007, which was later affirmed on appeal by the District Court (Judge Jones and the Honorable Philip M. Pro).
- 48. On May 21, 2007, as a result of Compass's extensive loan servicing misconduct to the financial detriment of the direct lenders, the 892 Case was commenced. The 892 Case sought declaratory relief regarding (i) the ability of the direct lenders to terminate Compass (and later Asset Resolution) as their loan servicer under the LSAs and (ii) the loan servicing compensation allegedly owed to their loan servicer under the LSAs and the Loans, as well as damages.
- 49. On August 29, 2007, the District Court affirmed the Confirmation Order. In doing so, the District Court determined, among other things, that the ability of the direct lenders to terminate their loan servicer under the LSAs, as well as the right of the loan servicer under the LSAs to receive default interest and late fees as loan servicing compensation, were matters of contract interpretation, not plan confirmation, and thus the Confirmation Order did not purport to interpret the LSAs to define Compass's contractual rights under the LSAs. In other words, Compass acquired the LSAs without modification of their terms.

50. On November 6, 2007, the District Court entered a Preliminary Injunction in the 892 Case that protected Compass from being terminated as the loan servicer under the LSAs, but enabled 51% or more of the direct lenders in each of the Loans to move for termination of their loan servicer. The Preliminary Injunction also required Compass to hold in trust for the direct lenders, and not disburse without "further order of this Court," certain disputed funds (the "Trust Funds").

- 51. For much of 2008, a District Court-approved standstill existed in the 892 Case while the parties engaged in a District Court-ordered mediation process and the District Court-appointed receiver analyzed the parties' claims and sought the parties' approval of a proposed class action settlement. Those settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and the litigation of the 892 Case proceeded anew beginning in January 2009.
- 52. In February 2009, when Silar and Asset Resolution sought the approval of the District Court in the 892 Case to move the Trust Funds from one account into loan specific sub-accounts for greater transparency to the direct lenders, they represented that they "understand and acknowledge that funds will not be distributed from these accounts without further order of the Court."
- 53. In April 2009, pursuant to Silar's motion, the District Court in the 892 Case acknowledged, but did not approve, the substitution of Asset Resolution for Compass as the loan servicer under the LSAs, but added Silar and Asset Resolution as parties to the Preliminary Injunction over which it had in personam jurisdiction and for whose protection the Preliminary Injunction extended. The Court added Silar to the Preliminary Injunction because it was concerned that Asset Resolution was "just a shell" being interposed to protect Silar from liability, and to make clear that the termination of the loan servicing rights under the LSAs would also eliminate any interest that Silar had in those rights.
- 54. On July 6, 2009, the District Court made summary judgment rulings from the bench in the 892 Case that were adverse to the loan servicer under the LSAs. Those summary judgment rulings were later memorialized in written orders issued on September 18, 2009, and August 4, 2010.

Specifically, the District Court ruled that, pursuant to the compensation provisions of the LSAs, the loan servicer was not entitled to collect default interest and late charges as servicing compensation in connection with the Loans if the amount ultimately collected was less than the full principal amount of the Loans. The District Court also ruled that the loan servicer under the LSAs was not entitled to collect default interest and late charges as servicing compensation directly from the direct lenders. The District Court further ruled that, for each of the Loans, the loan servicer under the LSAs was entitled to receive only one accrued annual servicing fee, to be calculated by multiplying the weighted average of the servicing fee percentages specified in the applicable LSAs for one year by the total amount ultimately collected on the Loans. Finally, the District Court ruled that the loan servicer under the LSAs was the direct lenders' fiduciary when handling any monies it collected on the Loans.

- 55. On August 28, 2009, the District Court in the 892 Case approved the conveyance of title to the collateral securing the "Gess" Loan based on a sale price of \$8.5 million, and determined that Asset Resolution was entitled to recover a total of only approximately \$1.5 million in servicing fees and advances from those sale proceeds, including only \$94,000 as a servicing fee. Asset Resolution had claimed that under the LSAs it was entitled to retain all those sale proceeds (after paying off a tax lien in the amount of approximately \$2 million).
- 56. On October 14, 2009, Debtors commenced the Bankruptcy Cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.
- 57. In its accompanying Statement of Financial Affairs, Asset Resolution disclosed under penalty of perjury that, on September 3, 2009, it disbursed approximately \$950,460 of those Trust Funds to itself without further order of the District Court in the 892 Case.
- 58. On November 24, 2009, venue of the Bankruptcy Cases was transferred from New York to the District of Nevada.

59. At an evidentiary hearing held in the Bankruptcy Cases on December 14, 2009, Tai testified that the principals of Silar are the primary owners of SOS and, therefore, SOS is an "affiliate" of Silar because Silar wholly owns Asset Resolution.

- 60. On January 5, 2010, the District Court from the bench immediately withdrew the reference to the entirety of the Bankruptcy Cases, and modified the automatic stay to allow the 892 Case to proceed to a final conclusion. On January 25 and 28, 2010, the District Court issued written orders in the Bankruptcy Cases withdrawing the reference and modifying the automatic stay, respectively.
- 61. On January 19, 2010, the District Court ruled from the bench that it was immediately converting the Bankruptcy Cases. On January 29, 2010, the District Court entered its nunc pro tunc "Conversion Order," pursuant to which the Bankruptcy Cases were converted to chapter 7 cases under the Bankruptcy Code, and William A. Leonard, Jr. was appointed as bankruptcy trustee.
- 62. On January 21, 2010, the District Court entered its "Termination Order" in the 892 Case, pursuant to which it concluded that more than 51% of the direct lenders in 29 outstanding Loans had terminated Compass and Asset Resolution as their loan servicers under the LSAs. The District Court determined that good cause was not needed to terminate Compass and Asset Resolution as the loan servicers under the LSAs because, pursuant to Nevada's "51% Rule," the direct lenders always retained management control of their Loans by being able to designate a new loan servicer upon the approval of at least 51% of the direct lenders in each of the Loans.
- 63. On January 25, 2010, the District Court vacated its Preliminary Injunction entered in the 892 Case. That order was subsequently stayed pending appeal by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.
- 64. On February 8, 2010, the District Court entered in the Bankruptcy Cases its "51% Rule Order" specifying how the direct lenders were to implement the 51% Rule under Nevada law to reclaim management control of their outstanding Loans. The District Court subsequently approved

the assumption of the servicing of 27 outstanding Loans by new servicing agents approved by at least 51% of the direct lenders, and placed the chapter 7 trustee in nominal control of the handful of other outstanding Loans pending the direct lenders' approval of new servicing agents for those few remaining Loans.

- 65. On May 25, 2010, the District Court entered in the Bankruptcy Cases its order imposing sanctions against Silar, Leeds, Gracin, and Debtors' general and special bankruptcy counsel for their roles in connection with the improper filing of the Bankruptcy Cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The District Court determined that the filing of the Bankruptcy Cases was undertaken in bad faith to escape its jurisdiction over the LSAs, the Loans, and the parties, and was also frivolous. The District Court imposed sanctions against those parties, and in favor of the direct lenders, in the total amount of \$279,615.47, as well as required Debtors' general and special bankruptcy counsel to disgorge to the bankruptcy trustee their respective \$300,000 pre-petition retainers. The District Court's sanctions order was subsequently stayed pending appeal upon those parties depositing into the registry of the District Court separate supersedeas bonds in the amounts of \$349,519.34, \$208,614.55, and \$349,519.34.
- 66. On June 9, 2010, the District Court entered additional summary judgment rulings in the 892 Case. Among other rulings, the District Court determined that USACM, Compass, and Asset Resolution were required under Nevada law to have, but lacked, valid powers of attorney to service the Loans for the direct lenders. The District Court also concluded that Silar was the title owner of the Purchased Assets and Compass was its loan servicing agent under the LSAs in connection with the Loans. As a result, and based on the terms of the predominant "Type 4" LSAs, Silar was liable for the loan servicing misconduct undertaken by Compass within the scope of its agency relationship with Silar. The District Court further concluded that, pursuant to Silar's assignment of its rights and interests in, and obligations under, the Purchased Assets to Asset Resolution, Silar lacked standing to assert any counterclaims against the direct lenders for their

Asset Resolution could not prevail as a matter of law on their contract and tort counterclaims against the direct lenders based on the 51% Rule, Nevada law recognizing that a party may justifiably exercise and act to protect its rights, and the terms of the LSAs, and because Silar and Asset Resolution could not establish that the direct lenders caused their alleged damages. Finally, the District Court held that the "Plaintiff LLCs" (which had commenced the 892 Case) had lost their standing to sue because they had forfeited their right to transact business in Nevada.

- 67. On June 24, 2010, the District Court enforced the Conversion Order, requiring the chapter 7 trustee to disburse the Trust Funds to the direct lenders (and limited others) because, pursuant to the District Court's summary judgment rulings in the 892 Case, the loan servicer under the LSAs was not entitled to those funds as claimed servicing compensation *i.e.*, default interest and late charges because the direct lenders had not been repaid, and those funds were insufficient to repay, the entire principal balance for the particular Loans.
- 68. On September 13, 2010, the District Court ruled from the bench in the 892 Case that it would not allow the former individual members of the Plaintiff LLCs to be substituted as named party plaintiffs in the 892 Case pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(c). Instead, the District Court indicated that those direct lenders would have to pursue their damages claims in a new lawsuit, necessitating this action.
- 69. The 892 Case proceeded to a jury trial on November 16, 2010. On December 14, 2010, a nine-person jury found Silar and/or Asset Resolution liable for breaches of contract, breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in contract and in tort, breaches of fiduciary duties, conversion, and civil conspiracy in connection with their servicing of certain Loans pursuant to the LSAs. As a result, and based on the Court's pretrial determination that Compass, Blatt, and Piskun were in default and, thus, liable as a matter of law, the jury awarded Plaintiffs a total of approximately \$79,000 in compensatory damages, excluding attorneys' fees, and a total of

\$5.1 million in punitive damages. Defendants are collaterally estopped from contesting the jury's findings of liability in connection with the Loans.

- 70. Following the jury's verdict, the District Court indicated its intention to publish a declaratory judgment order discussing the ability of the direct lenders to terminate their loan servicers under the LSAs without good cause pursuant to the 51% Rule, the limited loan servicing compensation to which the loan servicers under the LSAs were entitled, the inability of mortgage brokers and loan servicers (such as Compass, Silar, and Asset Resolution) to place their financial interests in priority to those of the direct lenders, the lack of requisite powers of attorney held by the loan servicers under the LSAs, the inability of Silar and Asset Resolution to pursue damages claims against the direct lenders, and Silar's liability for Compass's loan servicing misconduct.
- 71. Based on the jury's findings and the District Court's anticipated declaratory judgment order, the District Court is also expected to award substantial attorneys' fees to the prevailing plaintiffs in the 892 Case pursuant to the terms of the LSAs.

## B. Defendants' Wrongful Loan Servicing Enterprise

- 1. Compass and Silar form their wrongful loan servicing enterprise.
- 72. Compass and Silar operated their loan servicing enterprise from their offices, which were both located at 333 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Third Floor, New York, New York 10001. Silar leased its premises from Mezei, who owned that entire office space. Repotex and EGG also have their offices at that same address.
- 73. Silar funded Compass's acquisition of the Purchased Assets pursuant to a certain Master Repurchase Agreement ("MRA") and various related instruments, resulting in Silar obtaining ownership of the Purchased Assets and Compass servicing the Loans on behalf of the direct lenders as the loan servicing agent of Silar, as the District Court determined as a matter of law in the 892 Case. The MRA was structured and negotiated by Fragin, who had a long history of business dealings and lending relationships with Mezei.

74. Silar's initial funding of Compass's acquisition of the Purchased Assets was based on: (i) a "first tier" financing of \$38 million, pursuant to which an \$18.5 million investment was made by the Gottex ABL Master Fund, another \$18.5 million investment was made by the Gottex ABL Cayman Fund, and Silar Advisors, LP contributed \$1 million (all of which accrued 18% interest per annum); (ii) a "second tier" financing of \$12.5 million, pursuant to which Silar Special Opportunities Fund, LP funded \$10 million as "Class A Preferred," with 27.5% compounded monthly interest, and funded another \$2.5 million as "Class B Preferred," with 30% compounded monthly interest; and (iii) a "third tier" financing of \$1.8 million by Compass USA Holding, LLC.

75. Pursuant to a Global Paying Agency Agreement, such initial funding was to be repaid in accordance with that three tier financing, such that the first tier would be repaid first and the third tier would be repaid last. The repayment of the second and third tiers would be to Silar and Compass in accordance with their limited partnership interests in Compass USA, LP. Silar Special Opportunities Fund, LP and Compass USA Holding, LLC were the limited partners of Compass USA, LP. SSOP, LLC succeeded to Silar Special Opportunities Fund, LP's interest in Compass USA, LP in or about September 2008.

76. After its original funding source dropped out in late January 2007, Compass was desperate to secure funding to complete its acquisition of the Purchased Assets and not lose its non-refundable \$6 million good faith deposit. Thus, Compass agreed to the extreme funding and repayment terms offered by Silar. That arrangement compelled Compass and Silar to undertake their wrongful loan servicing enterprise to realize any profits from the acquisition of the Purchased Assets.

### 2. <u>Compass was a corporate labyrinth directed and overseen by Mezei.</u>

77. Compass engaged in its wrongful loan servicing enterprise through a multitude of separate companies. Specifically, Compass Partners, LLC assigned the Purchased Assets to Compass USA SPE, LLC, an otherwise asset-less corporate shell, but purported to service the Loans

through its sub-servicers Compass Financial Partners, LLC (both Nevada and Delaware) and Compass FP Corp., whose sole member and shareholder, respectively, are Compass Partners, LLC. In addition, Compass Partners, LLC is the manager of Compass USA GP, LLC, which is the general partner of Compass USA, LP, in which Compass USA Holding, LLC was a limited partner.

- 78. Compass was operated on a day-to-day basis by two of its principals, Piskun and Blatt. Cohen and Friedman were also principals of Compass involved in, and Liu and Olson were substantial participants in, the wrongful loan servicing enterprise operated by Compass.
- 79. Mezei, however, was the key principal of Compass. Mezei is the President of Compass Partners, LLC. Mezei secured the funding from Silar for Compass's acquisition of the Purchased Assets, and executed a personal guaranty in support of such funding. Mezei was also involved in, directed, and oversaw all significant loan servicing decisions made by Compass.
  - 3. <u>Silar takes control from Compass of the operation and management of the loan servicing enterprise, which is directed and overseen by Leeds.</u>
- 80. In late September 2008, while the 892 Case was pending, Silar formed its wholly-owned subsidiary Asset Resolution, and assigned all its rights and interests in, and obligations under, the Purchased Assets, including all its causes of action in connection with the Purchased Assets, to Asset Resolution. Asset Resolution then purported to foreclose on Compass's interests in the Purchased Assets and assume all the rights and obligations attendant to owning the Purchased Assets, including all the liabilities resulting from the loan servicing enterprise operated and managed by Compass. Indeed, Asset Resolution asserted that it was entitled to recover, and pursued (and continues to pursue) the recovery of, all loan servicing compensation owed to, and all servicing advances made by, Compass in connection with the Loans.
- 81. Silar formed Asset Resolution merely to be an empty corporate shell in which Silar would hold the Purchased Assets. The purpose of that corporate shell game was so Silar could obtain the benefit of ownership of the Purchased Assets, while purporting to avoid the liabilities

incurred in connection with the Purchased Assets. Asset Resolution had no employees, and was operated, administered, and controlled by Silar – primarily Leeds, Gracin, and Tai – until January 19, 2010, when the District Court converted the Bankruptcy Cases, which were filed under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on October 14, 2009, to cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, resulting in the appointment of a bankruptcy trustee. Thus, until January 19, 2010, Asset Resolution was a mere instrumentality or alter ego of Silar.

- 82. Also in late September 2008, because Asset Resolution was merely an empty corporate shell, Silar formed SOS to act as the day-to-day sub-servicer for Asset Resolution in connection with the Loans. SOS was operated, administered, and controlled by Silar, including Leeds, Gracin, and Tai. Reiner was the manager of SOS. Thus, SOS was a mere instrumentality or alter ego of Silar. SOS was subsequently dissolved and its assets were disbursed to Leeds, Gracin, and Tai.
- 83. As President and CEO of Silar, Leeds was involved in, directed, and oversaw all significant loan servicing decisions made by Silar (and Asset Resolution and SOS) in connection with the loan servicing enterprise.
  - 4. Other professionals conspired with Compass and Silar to perpetuate their loan servicing enterprise.
- 84. Compass's and Silar's wrongful loan servicing enterprise was assisted by the legal advice of Lomazow, who rendered erroneous legal opinions that purported to justify their misconduct.
- 85. Compass's and Silar's wrongful loan servicing enterprise was assisted by Windemere, which is a Nevada-licensed mortgage broker that Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution and SOS) used to sub-service the Loans in purported compliance with the District Court's Preliminary Injunction in the 892 Case.

- 86. As a result of the wrongful loan servicing enterprise, Oakbridge purchased fractional beneficial interests in various Loans at substantial discounts.
- 87. In or about June 2008, Repotex purchased the remaining participation interest held by the Gottex ABL Master Fund in the first tier financing of the Purchased Assets for \$8,375,000. EGG funded Repotex's purchase. Fragin contributed \$800,000 to EGG to fund Repotex's purchase. Those transactions resulted in the disappearance of Mezei's personal guaranty in connection with the Purchased Assets and the foreclosure by Asset Resolution (Silar) on Compass's interests in the Purchased Assets.
- 88. After the formation of Asset Resolution, Silar retained and funded Great White and Orrock to engage in actions that were intended to further the wrongful loan servicing enterprise. Great White and Orrock served as Silar's so-called "settlement liaison" and communicator of misinformation to direct lenders in an effort to induce them into selling their fractional beneficial interests in the Loans to Silar at substantial discounts so Silar could reduce its potential litigation liability and have the ability to interfere with resolutions of the Loans by threatening further litigation.
- 89. Great White and Orrock performed, and continue to perform, those functions on behalf of Silar because Silar (through Asset Resolution prior to October 14, 2009) paid/pays them to do so, including \$50,000 on September 8, 2009. Indeed, on August 2, 2010, Orrock posted on his blog that "Great White strengthens its financial base with assistance from Silar . . . [I]t would have been impossible for us to continue our work . . . without the financial assistance that Silar has given to the company . . . in keeping the doors open." Notably, however, on April 14, 2009, Orrock posted on his blog that "[Direct Lenders] have no choice [Great White] recommends you join the [Bickel & Brewer] litigation group and see the ending of the movie. My hope, [sic] is no settlement, jury trial, aggressive representation, no personal liability for litigants on our side of the ledger. . . . [T]his

game is just another round of Monopoly when the Silar side is trying to make all the money at the expense of the other – till their broke."

### 5. The wrongful loan servicing enterprise seeks to defraud Plaintiffs.

## a. <u>Defendants seek to defraud Plaintiffs with respect to the "Standard Property" Loan.</u>

- 90. On February 27, 2006, the Standard Property borrower executed a Promissory Note Secured by Mortgage in the principal amount of \$17,750,000 that provided for an interest rate of 12.5% per annum. Pursuant to section 4 of the Note, all payments on the Note were to be applied first toward the payment of accrued interest. As of February 16, 2007, the total remaining principal balance of the Standard Property Loan was \$9,640,000. The Loan was resolved in March 2007.
- 91. Specifically, on March 20, 2007, the Standard Property borrower resolved the Loan by paying: (i) the Standard Property direct lenders 100% of their outstanding principal, but no accrued interest; and (ii) Silar over \$870,000 as loan servicing compensation (*i.e.*, default interest, late charges, and other fees) that was purportedly owed to the loan servicer under the LSAs.
- 92. The Standard Property borrower made that payment to Silar pursuant to a secret "side deal" that was not disclosed in the letter, dated March 7, 2007, that Compass sent through the United States mail to the Standard Property direct lenders in which they were asked to, and did, consent to the repayment of 100% of the outstanding principal, but no accrued interest, in full satisfaction of the Loan. The intent of the letter was to avoid paying additional collected sums to the Standard Property direct lenders as accrued regular interest as required by section 4 of the Note.
- 93. In fact, on May 18, 2007, Compass sent through the United States mail its first loan status report to the direct lenders. In that report, Compass discussed the Standard Property Loan as one of its "Loan Payoff Successes," stating in full: "The Borrower paid 100% of the Unpaid Principal Balance with the consent of 100% of the Direct Lenders, as provided by the Loan Agreement and Loan Servicing Agreement. This settlement also retired a lawsuit filed against the

Direct Lenders by the Borrower." Again, Compass did not disclose to the Standard Property direct lenders the existence of the secret side deal that resulted in the Standard Property borrower paying over \$870,000 to Silar instead of the Standard Property direct lenders as required by section 4 of the Note.

## b. <u>Defendants seek to defraud Plaintiffs with respect to the "Fiesta Oak Valley" Loan.</u>

- 94. USACM originated the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan in June 2004. As of February 16, 2007, the unpaid principal balance of the Loan was \$20,500,000 and accrued regular interest was approximately \$6,600,000.
- 95. On March 2, 2007, counsel for Vindrauga Corporation, an affiliate of Debt Acquisition Company of America, sent a letter to Compass purporting to terminate Compass as the loan servicer of the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan pursuant to the "Surviving Section 3 Right" set forth in the Bankruptcy Court's Confirmation Order. The letter advised Compass that more than 51% of the fractional beneficial interest in the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan had elected to substitute Vindrauga for Compass as the loan servicer for the Loan.
- 96. On March 23, 2007, Compass sent through the United States mail a letter to the Fiesta Oak Valley direct lenders in which it stated that a third party wanted to purchase their fractional beneficial interests in the Loan in an amount that was 91% of the unpaid principal balance, which was equivalent to a 68% discount because the offer did not include payment for the entire principal balance or any accrued regular interest. That third party was Oakbridge.
- 97. On March 22, 2007, however, the Fiesta Oak Valley borrower had informed Compass that it intended to pay off the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan in full by July 2007. In an internal e-mail, Compass recognized that the disclosure of that information to the Fiesta Oak Valley direct lenders would make it more difficult to purchase their fractional beneficial interests in the Fiesta Oak Valley

Loan at a substantial discount. As a result, Compass schemed to misrepresent the status and value of the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan to the Fiesta Oak Valley direct lenders.

- 98. On April 4, 2007, Compass sent through the United States mail a loan status report to the Fiesta Oak Valley direct lenders which misrepresented the status and value of the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan and indicated that expensive foreclosure efforts against the borrower would likely need to be initiated. Specifically, Compass knew that the Fiesta Oak Valley borrower was intending to pay off the Loan in full and that the value of the collateral securing the Loan exceeded \$200,000,000. Compass, however, failed to disclose that information to the Fiesta Oak Valley direct lenders.
- 99. As of March 14, 2007, Compass was not a direct lender in the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan. However, by early May 2007, Compass, Oakbridge, and Mezei had acquired more than 51% of the fractional beneficial interests in the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan, precluding Vindrauga from exercising the Surviving Section 3 Right to terminate Compass as the loan servicer for the Loan. Compass, Oakbridge, and Mezei acquired that fractional beneficial interest in the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan at a substantial discount as a result of Compass's misrepresentations to the Fiesta Oak Valley direct lenders, to their financial detriment.
- 100. Silar funded Compass's acquisition of fractional beneficial interests in the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan. Silar did so after being notified by Compass of the true status and value of the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan. Silar thereafter purchased the more than 51% fractional beneficial interest in the Fiesta Oak Valley Loan held by Compass, Oakbridge, and Mezei, and then sold that interest for a substantial profit, to the financial detriment of the Fiesta Oak Valley direct lenders.
  - c. <u>Defendants seek to defraud Plaintiffs with respect to the "Shamrock" Loan.</u>
- 101. On March 23, 2007, Compass sent a misleading letter through the United States mail to the Shamrock direct lenders seeking their approval to accept a "third party" offer to purchase the

Shamrock Loan for 88% of the outstanding principal balance and no accrued interest. That third party was Oakbridge. That letter was misleading because Compass knew, but did not disclose, that the buyer was willing to pay 100% of the Shamrock direct lenders' outstanding principal balance and all accrued interest, plus certain other fees. That letter was also misleading because it did not disclose that the collateral securing the Shamrock Loan was worth millions of dollars more than the unpaid principal balance. Compass had such knowledge because it had negotiated an agreement with the third party pursuant to which the third party would purchase the Shamrock property in a foreclosure sale for the entire value of the Loan, *i.e.*, for the outstanding principal balance and all due and owing accrued interest, default interest, late fees, and other fees.

102. When only some Shamrock direct lenders accepted the 88% purchase offer, Compass proceeded to foreclose on the Shamrock property to effectuate the sale to the third party. Silar aided this scheme by providing funds to Compass to remove a tax lien that would have prevented Compass from initiating foreclosure proceedings and effectuating the foreclosure sale to the third party in full satisfaction of the amounts due and owing in connection with the Shamrock Loan.

103. On February 15, 2008, Compass sent a letter through the United States mail that requested the consent of the Shamrock direct lenders to sell the Shamrock property for \$15,319,235.00, as well as approve a proposed *pari passu* disbursement of the sales proceeds between the Shamrock direct lenders and Compass. The letter stated that if at least 51% of the direct lenders consented to the sale, Compass would place in escrow the disputed sales proceeds for those direct lenders who did not approve the proposed *pari passu* disbursement of the sales proceeds.

104. In March 2008, after receipt of the sales proceeds, Compass never provided the Shamrock direct lenders with an accounting of the Shamrock sales proceeds, including who accepted the proposed *pari passu* disbursement, how much money was taken by Compass, or on what basis such funds were taken by Compass. Instead, Compass wrongfully retained possession of approximately \$2.3 million from the sale of the Shamrock property to which it was not entitled.

105. Pursuant to the Shamrock Promissory Note, all payments on the Shamrock Loan were to be applied first to accrued regular interest, then to unpaid collection costs and late charges, and any remaining amount to outstanding principal. Moreover, the loan servicer under the LSAs was not entitled to any late charges or default interest unless those sums were "collected from the borrower," rather than, like here, from a third party pursuant to a foreclosure sale.

### d. <u>Defendants seek to defraud Plaintiffs with respect to the "Anchor B"</u> Loan.

- 106. On May 31, 2005, USACM originated a loan in the amount of \$5,850,000 to Anchor B, LP.
- 107. On January 14, 2008, Compass foreclosed on the Anchor B property on behalf of the Anchor B direct lenders.
- 108. In September 2009, through the use of interstate wires, Asset Resolution, by Reiner and SOS, sought, and purported to obtain, the verbal consent of at least 51% of the Anchor B direct lenders to sell the Anchor B property to a third party buyer for \$1.9 million. Because it purported to have the consent of at least 51% of the Anchor B direct lenders, Asset Resolution sold the Anchor B property for \$1.9 million on September 30, 2009.
- 109. Asset Resolution, however, lacked the consent of at least 51% of the Anchor B direct lenders to sell the Anchor B property. Three Anchor B direct lenders who accounted for 6.43% of that purported 51% verbal authorization to sell the Anchor B Property actually voted against the sale of the Anchor B property several days prior to September 30, 2009.
- 110. After the sale of the Anchor B Property was consummated, Asset Resolution improperly claimed all the net sale proceeds in the amount of \$457,526.36 as a purported "base servicing fee," and paid \$374,102.43 of those proceeds to its then-litigation counsel Greenberg Traurig LLP for "September and October," even though Greenberg Traurig was not the responsible counsel for the Anchor B property.

## e. <u>Defendants seek to defraud Plaintiffs with respect to the "Gramercy" Loan.</u>

- 111. On June 25, 2004, USACM originated a loan to Gramercy Court, Ltd. that eventually totaled \$34,885,500. On April 1, 2008, Compass, on behalf of the Gramercy direct lenders, foreclosed on the Gramercy property, which is a 220-unit condominium project that is comprised of two five-story buildings each containing 110 condominium/apartment units.
- Asset Resolution, SOS, and Windemere) wrongfully allowed tax liens to be asserted in the total amount of approximately \$2.6 million, mechanic's liens to be asserted in the total amount of approximately \$1.7 million, and liability insurance to lapse. Compass and Silar (through Asset Resolution, SOS, and Windemere) also overstated the amount of those liens and taxes in written correspondence sent through the United States mail to the Gramercy direct lenders, including a letter sent by Silar (through Asset Resolution, SOS, and Windemere) on December 19, 2008.
- approximately \$40,000 \$60,000 per month, only one of the two buildings was being leased. That is because Compass and Silar (through Asset Resolution, SOS, and Windemere) had erroneously informed the Gramercy direct lenders by interstate wire communications that it would require \$5 million to get the second building ready to lease, which was cost prohibitive, and therefore advised that the Gramercy direct lenders should sell the Gramercy property. Specifically, Piskun made such statements on at least three conference calls with the Gramercy direct lenders, which were conducted on September 27, 2007, January 24, 2008, and January 28, 2008.
- 114. In fact, the cost to get the second building ready to lease may have been as little as approximately \$700,000, which the Gramercy direct lenders discovered for the first time during the Gramercy transfer of loan servicing/sale proceedings held in July and August 2010. Thus,

27 28

Defendants' actions resulted in the Gramercy direct lenders losing years of substantial rental income that would have been realized from the completion of the second building.

115. In addition, instead of the cash realized from the Gramercy property being paid to the Gramercy direct lenders as rental income or used to pay the property taxes and the mechanic's liens, Defendants have wrongfully taken, and not accounted for, the cash generated by the Gramercy property to the financial detriment of the Gramercy direct lenders.

### f. Defendants seek to defraud Plaintiffs with respect to the "Trust Funds."

- 116. The Preliminary Injunction entered in the 892 Case required the loan servicers under the LSAs to escrow, and not disburse except upon further order of the District Court, the Trust Funds. Silar and Asset Resolution subsequently acknowledged that they were bound by that requirement when they sought and successfully obtained in the 892 Case the District Court's approval to move the Trust Funds from one account into specific sub-accounts for the Loans for greater transparency to the direct lenders.
- 117. On September 3, 2009, however, Asset Resolution wrongfully paid itself \$950,439.32 from the Trust Funds as purported loan servicing compensation to which it was not entitled. Asset Resolution did so without further order of the District Court, as required by the Preliminary Injunction entered in the 892 Case. Asset Resolution did not provide any notice that it took those funds until it disclosed those disbursements in its Statement of Financial Affairs, which was filed in conjunction with the commencement of the Bankruptcy Cases on October 14, 2009.

## C. <u>Defendants' Additional Loan Servicing Misconduct</u>

## 1. <u>Defendants generally engaged in extensive loan servicing misconduct.</u>

118. As described herein, Defendants engaged in extensive loan servicing misconduct, individually and in concert, to enrich themselves to the financial detriment of the direct lenders. Silar is vicariously liable for the loan servicing misconduct described herein that its servicing agent Compass perpetrated within the scope of its agency relationship with Silar. Silar is also liable for the

loan servicing misconduct described herein that was undertaken by Asset Resolution and SOS as they were mere instrumentalities or alter egos of Silar. Compass and Silar are also liable for any loan servicing actions taken or not taken by their sub-servicers.

- 119. Defendants purported to act as the direct lenders' loan servicers in connection with the Loans even though they lacked the required powers of attorney to do so. Given the lack of such authority, Defendants wrongfully took actions and incurred obligations and liabilities on behalf of the direct lenders in connection with the Loans. Defendants' lack of such authority also precludes Defendants from pursuing claims for recovery of purported loan servicing compensation owed to them pursuant to the LSAs in connection with the Loans.
- 120. Defendants failed to act in compliance with their fiduciary duties to the direct lenders when handling funds in connection with the Loans. Among other things, Defendants wrongfully took and retained funds as purported loan servicing compensation to which they were not entitled, and have not properly accounted for funds received in connection with the Loans.
- 121. In addition, Defendants failed to act in accordance with their contractual and statutory obligations to obtain the consent of at least 51% of the direct lenders in the Loans to take actions on their behalf in connection with the Loans. Among other things, Defendants failed to seek such consent in connection with proposed discounted payoffs made by borrowers, the pursuit of foreclosure proceedings, the modification of the terms of the Loans, the sale of foreclosed-upon collateral securing the Loans, and advances made to borrowers and other third parties related to the Loans.
- 122. Defendants failed to act in accordance with their contractual and statutory obligations to disclose all proposed discounted payoffs made by the borrowers to the direct lenders, and to accept all proposed discounted payoffs that more than 51% of the direct lenders instructed Defendants to accept on their behalf. Defendants failed to disclose and accept such proposed

discounted payoffs because they did not include millions of dollars in default interest, late charges, and other fees to which Defendants wrongfully claimed to be entitled.

123. Defendants failed to evaluate and resolve the defaulted Loans in a timely manner when the direct lenders were enjoined by the Preliminary Injunction from doing so because Defendants intended for the Loans to accrue additional amounts of default interest and late charges to which Defendants wrongfully claimed to be entitled. Indeed, although Compass paid \$8 million for the loan servicing rights under the LSAs on February 16, 2007, Compass claimed to be entitled to more than \$120 million in loan servicing compensation in connection with the Loans in an accounting filed with the District Court on November 15, 2007. As a result, the value of the collateral securing the Loans depreciated substantially when the economy and the commercial real estate market subsequently experienced a significant downturn, causing Plaintiffs to suffer millions of dollars in damages across the portfolio of outstanding Loans.

## 2. <u>Defendants engaged in loan servicing misconduct in connection with the "Lerin Hills" Loan.</u>

- 124. In December 2005, USACM originated a \$10,350,000 loan to Lerin Hills, Ltd. that was secured by a second lien on certain real estate. USACM and the Lerin Hills borrower subsequently negotiated a settlement payoff pursuant to which the borrower agreed to pay \$9,552,824.38, which amounted to 93% of the principal balance on the Loan, and USACM (on behalf of the direct lenders for that Loan) agreed to waive repayment of \$797,175.62 in principal, \$677,860.24 in unrecovered interest, and \$5,120,000.00 in an unrecovered exit fee payable to USACM. All the Lerin Hills direct lenders approved the settlement payoff, and Judge Riegle approved the settlement payoff by order dated December 12, 2006. USACM notified Compass of the order approving the settlement payoff.
- 125. Notwithstanding Judge Riegle's order authorizing USACM to settle the Lerin Hills Loan, no settlement payment was ever received in connection with that Loan. Instead, Compass

subsequently refused to accept the Lerin Hills borrower's attempts to make the agreed settlement payment in full satisfaction of its obligations under the Lerin Hills Loan. Compass demanded that the Lerin Hills borrower make a payoff for all sums due and owing on the Lerin Hills Loan in the total amount of \$17,306,947.38, which included late fees, default interest, and the \$5.12 million exit fee payable to Compass that USACM had previously agreed to waive. Compass specifically told the Lerin Hills borrower that it did not care about Judge Riegle's order approving the settlement payoff or if the Lerin Hills project "burned" because Compass did not purchase USACM's loan servicing rights for charity but to make money. Compass also recognized that the Lerin Hills borrower's failure to acquiesce to its demand to pay an exit fee would result in the Lerin Hills direct lenders losing their money.

126. When the Lerin Hills borrower refused to accede to Compass' settlement payoff demands, Compass moved to foreclose on the Lerin Hills Loan. Although Compass subsequently withdrew its notice of foreclosure, the damage had already been done. Specifically, as Compass was aware, the Lerin Hills Loan was a second lien subordinate to a first lien and an intercreditor agreement with Wachovia Bank. By initiating foreclosure proceedings while the first lien was still outstanding, Compass triggered Wachovia's right to accelerate its own loan and foreclose on the property. Wachovia then sold its first lien rights to another party, which pursued its foreclosure rights, eliminating all the direct lenders' equity in the property as second lienholders and resulting in a total loss to the Lerin Hills direct lenders.

# 3. <u>Defendants engaged in loan servicing misconduct in connection with the "Bay Pompano" Loan.</u>

127. In June 2005, USACM originated a \$32 million loan to Bay Pompano Beach, LLC. On April 3, 2007, the Bay Pompano borrower made a written settlement proposal to Compass, stating that it would pay the full remaining principal balance, all outstanding accrued ordinary

interest, and an exit fee in the amount of \$320,000, but not default interest or late fees. Compass, however, did not notify the Bay Pompano direct lenders of that settlement proposal.

128. By certified letter dated April 13, 2007, a Bay Pompano direct lender, on behalf of at least 51% of the direct lenders in that Loan, requested that Compass accept the borrower's settlement proposal of April 3, 2007. Compass did not respond to that letter. Instead, by letter dated April 25, 2007, counsel for Compass wrote the Bay Pompano borrower, advising that the amount then due and owing included (i) the principal balance of \$14,682,911.51, (ii) ordinary and default interest totaling \$2,621,593.40, (iii) late fees totaling \$877,526.24, and (iv) exit fees totaling \$320,000.00; and demanding that the borrower immediately assign to Compass all rents, income, and profits generated by the collateralized property.

129. A loan status report for the Bay Pompano Loan, dated June 8, 2007, indicated that, on May 17, 2007, the Bay Pompano borrower purportedly made a settlement offer of \$15,689,122.00, and Compass would be requesting that the Bay Pompano direct lenders accept a discounted payoff resulting in them receiving approximately 90% of the principal balance and waiving accrued interest. Thus, according to that loan status report, Compass purportedly would propose that the Bay Pompano direct lenders accept the Bay Pompano borrower's settlement offer if Compass could retain more than \$2 million in fees and default interest. Compass, however, never conveyed the borrower's settlement offer to the Bay Pompano direct lenders. Instead, without the consent of the Bay Pompano direct lenders, Compass chose to foreclose on the Bay Pompano Loan because the Bay Pompano borrower purportedly "was not willing to make a full repayment of the loan which left Compass with no choice but to foreclose." As a result of Compass's wrongful foreclosure action against the Bay Pompano borrower, the direct lenders were damaged.

# 4. <u>Defendants engaged in loan servicing misconduct in connection with the "Fox Hills" and "Eagle Meadows" Loans.</u>

- 130. In October 2005 and January 2006, USACM originated loans to Fox Hills 216, LLC and Eagle Meadows Development, respectively, in the total principal amount of between \$57 and \$58 million. The Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows Loans were made in connection with the same real estate development project in Los Banos, California, and to borrowers having the same principal.
- 131. The total amount of principal and accrued interest due and owing on the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows Loans was \$58 million as of November 2006, and \$62.3 million as of March 2007. A lien in the amount of \$3.3 million against certain property that was paid by Compass increased the total amount due and owing on the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows Loans to \$65.6 million.
- 132. In late December 2006, the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers met with Compass representatives, including Piskun, regarding the two Loans. At that meeting, Compass stated that it was "now in control of the Loans and that all decisions would be made by them and no one else and therefore [the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers] was to talk solely with them about the resolution of the Loans." Compass also invited the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers to submit a settlement proposal to pay off the two Loans.
- 133. Pursuant to Compass' invitation, the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers subsequently made four settlement payoff proposals to Compass. The final payoff proposal to Compass was for \$65 million, which the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers believed to be an amount sufficient to pay off the principal balance and all accrued interest on the two Loans.
- 134. Although the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers repeatedly asked Compass for its calculation of the total amount of principal and accrued interest due and

owing on the two Loans, Compass neither provided him with its breakdown of the total amount due and owing on the Loans, nor responded to any of his four loan settlement payoff proposals.

- 135. Instead, on or about March 5, 2007, Compass provided the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers with a settlement payoff proposal for the two Loans in the total amount of more than \$70 million. Despite the request of the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers, Compass never provided him with a breakdown of the \$70 million figure, including how much of the proposed settlement payoff comprised default interest and late charges.
- 136. Upon learning of the \$65 million settlement payoff proposal made by the principal of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows borrowers, approximately 75% of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows direct lenders sent a written demand to Compass to accept the \$65 million settlement payoff proposal.
- Meadows direct lenders, Compass refused to accept the \$65 million settlement payoff proposal because that proposal was purportedly \$9 million too low to repay the full principal balance of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows Loans. In other words, Compass refused to accept the settlement payoff proposal because it did not include default interest, late charges, and other fees to which Compass wrongly claimed to be entitled. Compass acknowledged as much to one of the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows direct lenders, and also confirmed that it was "unwilling to compromise."
- 138. Compass's actions caused substantial damages to the Fox Hills and Eagle Meadows direct lenders.
  - 5. <u>Defendants engaged in loan servicing misconduct in connection with the "Harbor Georgetown" Loan.</u>
- 139. In August 2004, USACM originated a loan in the amount of \$8,800,000 to Harbor Georgetown, L.L.C.

- 140. In or about March 2008, the Harbor Georgetown direct lenders instructed Compass to accept on their behalf the Harbor Georgetown borrower's proposed settlement payoff in the amount of \$7,750,000 in full satisfaction of the Harbor Georgetown Loan.
- 141. Compass wrongfully refused to accept that proposed settlement payoff on behalf of the Harbor Georgetown direct lenders because one direct lender refused to consent to the proposed settlement payoff and Compass maintained that 100% approval was required for it to accept the proposed payoff.
- 142. As a result of Compass's failure to accept that proposed settlement payoff, the Harbor Georgetown direct lenders subsequently lost approximately \$5.2 million when the Harbor Georgetown Loan was sold on April 9, 2010, to a third party for \$2,517,569.17.

## 6. <u>Defendants engaged in loan servicing misconduct in connection with the "Castaic" Loans.</u>

- 143. In September 2004, July 2005, and September 2005, USACM originated three related Loans to Castaic Partners, LLC, Castaic Partners II, LLC, and Castaic Partners III, LLC (collectively, "Castaic") in the total amount (including additional borrowings) of more than \$32 million. The three Castaic Loans were personally guaranteed by William Barkett ("Barkett").
- 144. In January 2010, Castaic and Barkett sued the Castaic direct lenders, as well as Compass and Silar, for damages caused by Compass, Silar, and Asset Resolution, as agents for the Castaic direct lenders, in connection with the Castaic Loans (the "Castaic Lawsuit"). Castaic and Barkett also sought a declaration that the Castaic Loans and the Barkett personal guarantees are unenforceable.
- 145. As a result of the Castaic Lawsuit, the Castaic direct lenders have had to retain legal counsel to pursue and defend their property rights and interests.
- 146. The Castaic direct lenders are entitled to reimbursement and/or indemnification for their legal fees and costs incurred in connection with the Castaic Lawsuit, as well as for any damages

for which they are held liable in the Castaic Lawsuit as a result of any unauthorized actions taken by Compass, Silar, and Asset Resolution.

147. The Castaic direct lenders are also entitled to damages for any losses resulting from the declaratory relief being sought by Castaic and Barkett in the Castaic Lawsuit.

## 7. <u>Defendants engaged in loan servicing misconduct in connection with the wrongful foreclosure of the four "Suttles Loans."</u>

- 148. Without the authorization of at least 51% of the direct lenders, Compass wrongfully pursued foreclosure proceedings in connection with the four Loans made to entities controlled by Tracy D. Suttles, namely, the Anchor B, Gess, Gramercy, and Shamrock Loans. Compass wrongfully pursued those unauthorized foreclosure actions so it could obtain title to, and realize a substantial return from a pre-arranged sale to a third party of, the valuable Shamrock property.
- 149. As a result of those unauthorized foreclosure actions, the Suttles Loans direct lenders were required to pay \$1.1 million to settle Suttles's wrongful foreclosure claims.

# 8. <u>Defendants engaged in loan servicing misconduct in connection with other Loans.</u>

- 150. Compass misapplied principal payments made by the "Palm Harbor" and "The Gardens" borrowers to fees allegedly due to Compass as the loan servicer. By those actions, Compass put those Loans into default, causing the Palm Harbor and The Gardens direct lenders to lose substantial sums to which they were entitled.
- Partners" Loan. Compass, Silar, and Asset Resolution were responsible for all actions taken or not taken by Citron under the LSAs. In April 2009, after acquiring title to the Lake Helen Partners property upon the completion of foreclosure proceedings, Citron, without the authorization to do so, sold approximately 7.6 acres of the property for \$200,000. The Lake Helen Partners direct lenders have not been paid their fractional share of those sale proceeds. Citron has disbursed its assets, including the \$200,000 payment, to the Citron Defendants.

152. In furtherance of their efforts to collect loan servicing compensation in priority to, and to the financial detriment of, the direct lenders, Compass, Silar, and Asset Resolution also caused damages, including the destruction of the value of the collateral securing the Loans, to Plaintiffs by their actions or omissions in connection with at least the following Loans (in addition to all those Loans specifically discussed previously): "60<sup>th</sup> Street Venture," "Amesbury," "BarUSA," "Binford Medical," "Brookmere," the "Bundy" Loans, "Cabernet Highlands," "Charlevoix," "Clear Creek Plantation," "Collwood," "Comvest," "Cornman Toltec," "Del Valle Livingston," "Hesperia," "HFA Clearlake I," "HFA Clearlake II," "Huntsville," "Margarita Annex," "Marlton Square I," "Marlton Square I," "Ocean Atlantic \$2.75MM," "Ocean Atlantic \$9.425MM," "SoCal Land Development," and "Ten-Ninety."

### D. The Damage Done

153. Plaintiffs lost substantial sums as a result of Defendants' wrongful loan servicing enterprise and additional loan servicing misconduct. Pursuant to Defendants' loan servicing enterprise, Plaintiffs sold their fractional beneficial interests in various Loans at substantial discounts, and were not paid considerable proceeds from the Loans that were wrongfully taken by Defendants as purported loan servicing compensation to which they were not entitled. Pursuant to Defendants' additional loan servicing misconduct, Plaintiffs lost favorable resolutions of the Loans, incurred substantial liabilities in connection with the Loans, and are left with fractional beneficial interests in Loans that are of marginal, if any, value.

### E. <u>Class Allegations</u>

154. Plaintiffs bring this action against Defendants on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated direct lenders represented by Bickel & Brewer who invested in the Loans in which Defendants perpetrated the loan servicing misconduct alleged herein.

155. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the proposed class is easily ascertainable.

- 156. Numerosity: The number of the other similarly situated direct lenders represented by Bickel & Brewer who want to be included in this action could be as many as an additional 1,000 persons. The persons in the class are so numerous that the joinder of all such persons is impracticable and the disposition of their claims in a class action rather than in individual actions will benefit the parties and the courts.
- 157. Common Questions Predominate: This action involves common questions of law and fact to the potential class because each class member's claim derives from the same loan servicing misconduct perpetrated by Defendants in connection with each of the particular Loans alleged herein. The common questions of law and fact predominate over individual questions, as proof of a common or single set of facts with respect to a particular Loan will establish the right of each member of the class to recover as to that Loan. Among the questions of law and fact common to the class are:
- a) Whether Defendants breached various duties that they owed to the direct lenders in connection with the Loans;
- b) Whether Defendants conspired to engage in the loan servicing misconduct alleged herein;
- c) Whether Defendants engaged in a RICO association and engaged in a pattern of activity designed to defraud Plaintiffs and the class;
- d) Whether Defendants engaged in a "Nevada RICO" association and engaged in crimes related to racketeering designed to defraud Plaintiffs and the class;
- e) Whether Plaintiffs and the class are entitled to declaratory and other equitable relief; and

f) Whether Plaintiffs and the class are entitled to recover compensatory, consequential, treble, and/or punitive damages, plus interest and attorneys' fees.

158. Typicality: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class because Plaintiffs entered into the same LSAs, and invested in the same Loans, as did the members of the class. Thus, Plaintiffs and the members of the class sustained the same injuries and damages arising out of Defendants' loan servicing misconduct.

159. Adequacy: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of all members of the class because it is in their best interests to prosecute the claims alleged herein to obtain full compensation due to them for the loan servicing misconduct of which they complain. Plaintiffs also have no interests that are in conflict with or antagonistic to the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiffs have retained highly competent and experienced attorneys to represent their interests and that of the class. No conflict of interest exists between Plaintiffs and the members of the class because all questions of law and fact regarding the liability of Defendants are common to the members of the class and predominate over any individual issues that may exist, such that by prevailing on their own claims, Plaintiffs necessarily will establish Defendants' liability as to the members of the class. Plaintiffs and their counsel have the necessary financial resources to adequately and vigorously litigate this class action, and Plaintiffs and their counsel are aware of their fiduciary responsibilities to the members of the class and are determined to diligently discharge those duties by vigorously seeking the maximum possible recovery for the members of the class.

160. Superiority: There is no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy other than by maintenance of this class action. The prosecution of individual remedies by members of the class will tend to establish inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendants, result in the impairment of the rights of the members of the class, and dispose of the interests of the members of the class through actions to which they were not parties. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously,

\_ -

efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions world engender. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by each individual member of the class may be relatively small, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the class to redress the wrongs done to them, while an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action.

- 161. Nexus to Nevada: The State of Nevada has a special interest in regulating the affairs of corporations that do business here. Defendants were required to comply with Nevada law because the LSAs and the Loans were expressly governed by Nevada law, they were "mortgage brokers" within the meaning of Nevada law, and the Preliminary Injunction entered by the District Court in the 892 Case required them to employ a Nevada-licensed subservicer. In addition, the Bankruptcy Cases are pending in the District of Nevada. Accordingly, a substantial nexus exists between Defendants' unlawful behavior and Nevada such that the Nevada courts should take cognizance of this action on behalf of a class of all other similarly situated direct lenders represented by Bickel & Brewer.
- 162. Plaintiffs are unaware of any difficulties that are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

V.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

- A. Violations Of The Racketeer Influenced And Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO")

  <u>Against All Defendants</u>
  - 163. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
  - 164. Each of Defendants is a "person" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(3).
  - 1. The enterprise.
- 165. Compass and Silar engaged in their wrongful loan servicing enterprise in violation of RICO beginning in March 2007. Plaintiffs did not discover that enterprise until in and after March

2007. Specifically, although Compass was the successful bidder for the Purchased Assets on December 7, 2006, Compass did not close on its acquisition of the Purchased Assets until February 16, 2007, and, therefore, Compass and Silar did not formally begin to service the Loans until on and after that date. Leeds and Mezei were in control of each and every aspect of the loan servicing enterprise, and were assisted by each of the other Defendants. Defendants are separate and distinct from the loan servicing enterprise. Thus, Defendants acted as an "enterprise" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(4) that affects interstate commerce.

#### 2. Compass and Silar engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity.

- 166. Compass and Silar engaged in a pattern of "racketeering activity" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(1) by committing mail fraud (in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341) and wire fraud (in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343) in connection with their servicing of the Loans. Compass's and Silar's racketeering activity constitutes a "pattern of racketeering activity" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) because they acted with continuity and pursuant to a scheme, with the intention to defraud and avoid lawful obligations to the direct lenders, with the reasonable foreseeability that the mail and wires would be used, and actually used the mail and wires to further the scheme.
- 167. In particular, Compass and Silar engaged in a scheme to defraud the direct lenders. The purpose of their scheme was to enrich themselves by acquiring fractional beneficial interests at substantial discounts, and by retaining funds obtained in connection with the Loans to which they were not entitled, all to the financial detriment of the direct lenders.
- 168. Defendants regularly communicated with each other through personal meetings, written correspondence, facsimile transmissions, and telephone conferences. To facilitate those communications, Defendants utilized interstate wires, the United States Postal Service, and interstate carriers.
- 169. Compass's and Silar's fraudulent scheme with respect to the direct lenders was perpetrated through the use of the mail and interstate wires, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and

1343. For the purpose of executing their fraudulent scheme, Compass and Silar and their subordinates have committed two or more predicate acts that were related, continuous, and ongoing. In particular, Defendants used the United States mail and interstate wires for telephone calls, facsimile transmissions, and other electronic correspondence to defraud and mislead the direct lenders in connection with their unlawful scheme related to the servicing of the Loans. In connection with their scheme to avoid their lawful obligations to the direct lenders, Defendants made a number of known and material misrepresentations, and omitted to disclose material information, to Plaintiffs.

- 170. In addition, Defendants' racketeering activity constitutes a "pattern of racketeering activity" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) because their use of the mail and interstate wires to defraud the direct lenders was repeated multiple times.
- 171. In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b), Compass and Silar, through the above pattern of racketeering activity, acquired and maintained control over the loan servicing enterprise, which engaged in interstate commerce. Their control over the loan servicing enterprise allowed them to use, operate, and transfer assets obtained in connection with the Loans to the financial detriment of Plaintiffs in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b).
- 172. In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), Leeds, Mezei, Cohen, Piskun, Blatt, Friedman, Gracin, Tai, Windemere, Oakbridge, Citron, the Citron Defendants, SOS, Reiner, Lomazow, Great White, Orrock, Fragin, Repotex, and EGG, who were employed by or associated with the loan servicing enterprise, have participated in and conducted the affairs of the loan servicing enterprise through the pattern of racketeering activity described above. The loan servicing enterprise, although controlled by Defendants, is separate and distinct from Defendants.
- 173. In addition, Defendants have joined together to defraud the direct lenders and agreed to commit the unlawful acts as part of the pattern of racketeering activity described above. Defendants' activities, as demonstrated by the facts set forth above, establish Defendants' agreement

to knowingly participate in a collective venture toward a common goal, and thereby establish a conspiracy to commit mail fraud and wire fraud for the purpose of unlawfully defrauding Plaintiffs through a pattern of racketeering activity within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). Defendants' activities, therefore, violate 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), which prohibits a conspiracy to violate 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c).

#### 3. Plaintiffs' damages.

- 174. As stated above, Defendants have engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity in connection with the Loans in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962.
- 175. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer injuries to their property, including their interests in the Loans.
- 176. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover threefold their actual damages, the costs of this action, and their reasonable attorneys' fees.

# B. Violations Of Nevada's Anti-Racketeering Law ("Nevada RICO") Against All Defendants

177. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

#### 1. The enterprise.

178. Compass and Silar engaged in their wrongful loan servicing enterprise in violation of Nevada RICO beginning in March 2007. Plaintiffs did not discover that enterprise until in and after March 2007. Specifically, although Compass was the successful bidder for the Purchased Assets on December 7, 2006, Compass did not close on its acquisition of the Purchased Assets until February 16, 2007, and, therefore, Compass and Silar did not formally begin to service the Loans until on and after that date. Leeds and Mezei were in control of each and every aspect of the loan servicing enterprise, and were assisted by each of the other Defendants. Defendants are separate and distinct

from the loan servicing enterprise. Thus, Defendants acted as an "enterprise" within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.380.

#### 2. <u>Defendants engaged in at least two crimes related to racketeering.</u>

- 179. Defendants have engaged in at least two crimes related to racketeering that have the same or similar pattern, intents, results, accomplices, victims or methods of commission, or are otherwise interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated incidents, within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.390.
- 180. Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.360, a "crime related to racketeering" includes the commission of, attempt to commit, or conspiracy to commit "[t]aking property from another under circumstances not amounting to robbery," "[e]mbezzlement of money or property valued at \$250 or more," or "[o]btaining possession of money or property valued at \$250 or more . . . by means of false pretenses." An embezzlement occurs under Nev. Rev. Stat. § 205.300 when any person to whom money or property has been entrusted uses or appropriates the money or property in any manner or for any purpose other than that for which it was entrusted to the person. A person obtains possession of money or property by false pretenses when he/she has an intent to defraud, makes a false representation, induces reliance on that representation, and defrauds the victim.
- 181. In particular, Compass and Silar engaged in a scheme to defraud the direct lenders. The purpose of their scheme was to enrich themselves by acquiring fractional beneficial interests at substantial discounts, and by retaining funds obtained in connection with the Loans to which they were not entitled, all to the financial detriment of the direct lenders.
- 182. In violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.400(1)(b), Compass and Silar, through the above crimes related to racketeering, acquired and maintained control over the loan servicing enterprise in connection with the Loans. Their control over the loan servicing enterprise has allowed them to use, operate, and transfer assets obtained in connection with the Loans to the financial detriment of Plaintiffs.

183. In violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.400(1)(c), Leeds, Mezei, Cohen, Piskun, Blatt, Friedman, Gracin, Tai, Windemere, Oakbridge, Citron, the Citron Defendants, SOS, Reiner, Lomazow, Great White, Orrock, Fragin, Repotex, and EGG, who were employed by or associated with the loan servicing enterprise, have participated in and conducted the affairs of the loan servicing enterprise through the racketeering activity described above. The loan servicing enterprise, although controlled by Defendants, is separate and distinct from Defendants.

184. In addition, Defendants have joined together to defraud the direct lenders and agreed to commit the racketeering activity described above. Defendants' activities, as demonstrated by the facts set forth above, establish Defendants' agreement to knowingly participate in a collective venture toward a common goal, and thereby establish a conspiracy to commit the racketeering activity described above within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 207.400(1)(b),(c). Defendants' activities, therefore, violate Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.400(1)(j), which prohibits a conspiracy to violate Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 207.400(1)(b),(c).

#### 3. Plaintiffs' damages.

- 185. As stated above, Defendants have engaged in at least two crimes related to racketeering activity in connection with the Loans in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.400(1).
- 186. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of Nev. Rev. STAT. § 207.400(1), Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer injuries to their property, including their interests in the Loans.
- 187. Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. § 207.400(1), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover threefold their actual damages, the costs of this action, and their reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in the trial and appellate courts.

#### C. Elder Abuse Against All Defendants

188. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

189. Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 41.1395(1),(4), Defendants are liable to those Plaintiffs over 60 years old that suffered a loss of money or property, caused by Defendants' use of those Plaintiffs' purported powers of attorney, to service the Loans to convert those Plaintiffs' money, assets, or property with the intention of permanently depriving those Plaintiffs of the ownership, use, benefit, or possession of such money, assets, or property. Defendants' liability is for two times the actual damages incurred by those Plaintiffs over 60 years old.

190. Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. § 41.1395(2), because they acted with recklessness, oppression, fraud, or malice, Defendants are also liable to those Plaintiffs over 60 years old for their attorneys' fees and costs.

#### D. <u>Breach Of Contract Against Compass And Silar</u>

- 191. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 192. Plaintiffs performed all their obligations under the LSAs, and all conditions precedent to the performance of Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) under the LSAs were performed and/or occurred.
- 193. Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) materially breached their obligations under the LSAs by engaging in extensive loan servicing misconduct while lacking valid requisite powers of attorney to act on behalf of the direct lenders.
- 194. As Compass was Silar's loan servicing agent under the LSAs pursuant to the MRA and various related instruments, Silar is vicariously liable for Compass's breaches of the LSAs.
- 195. As Silar assigned the MRA and various related instruments to Asset Resolution, and because Asset Resolution assumed all Compass's rights and obligations under the LSAs, Asset Resolution is liable for its own, as well as Compass's, breaches of the LSAs. And as Silar assigned its rights and obligations in the Purchased Assets to Asset Resolution while the 892 Case was pending, Silar is liable for Asset Resolution's breaches.

196. As a result of the breaches by Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution), Plaintiffs have been damaged in accordance with their fractional beneficial interests in the Loans in an amount to be determined at trial.

# E. Breach Of The Implied Covenant Of Good Faith And Fair Dealing Against Compass, Silar, And Their Principals

- 197. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 198. Under Nevada law, Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) owed Plaintiffs an implied duty of good faith and fair dealing under the LSAs.
- 199. Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) materially breached their implied duty of good faith and fair dealing under the LSAs by engaging in extensive loan servicing misconduct while lacking valid requisite powers of attorney to act on behalf of the direct lenders.
- 200. As Compass was Silar's loan servicing agent under the LSAs pursuant to the MRA and various related instruments, Silar is vicariously liable for Compass's breaches of its implied duty of good faith and fair dealing under the LSAs.
- 201. As Silar assigned the MRA and various related instruments to Asset Resolution, and because Asset Resolution assumed all Compass's rights and obligations under the LSAs, Asset Resolution is liable for its own, as well as Compass's, breaches of their implied duty of good faith and fair dealing under the LSAs. And as Silar assigned its rights and obligations in the Purchased Assets to Asset Resolution while the 892 Case was pending, Silar is liable for Asset Resolution's breaches.
- 202. In addition, because Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) had a fiduciary relationship with the direct lenders when handling funds on account of the direct lenders, they also tortiously breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in the LSAs by engaging in grievous and perfidious misconduct. Pursuant to that duty, Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) could not elevate their interests above the interests of the direct lenders for whom they

and all accrued regular interest due and owing under the Loans.

were servicing the Loans pursuant to the LSAs. For example, Compass and Silar (and Asset

Resolution) could not seek to retain default interest and late charges as loan servicing compensation

from collected funds in derogation of the right of the direct lenders to be repaid first their principal

have been damaged in accordance with their fractional beneficial interests in the Loans in an amount

Breach Of Fiduciary Duty Against Compass, Silar, And Their Principals

The principals of Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) are also personally liable

As a result of the breaches by Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution), Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

As Compass was Silar's loan servicing agent under the LSAs pursuant to the MRA

As Silar assigned the MRA and various related instruments to Asset Resolution, and

and various related instruments, Silar is vicariously liable for Compass's breaches of fiduciary

because Asset Resolution assumed all Compass's rights and obligations under the LSAs, Asset

Resolution is liable for its own, as well as Compass's, breaches of fiduciary duties. And as Silar

assigned its rights and obligations in the Purchased Assets to Asset Resolution while the 892 Case

1

7

203.

204.

205.

208.

209.

for such tortious actions.

to be determined at trial.

5

10

F.

14

16

18

duties.

24

206. Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) owed fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs when handling any monies they collected on the Loans on behalf of the direct lenders.

207. Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) breached their fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs by wrongfully taking and receiving funds as purported loan servicing compensation to which they were not entitled, and by not properly accounting for funds received in connection with the Loans.

was pending, Silar is liable for Asset Resolution's breaches.

210.

for such tortious actions.

211 As a result of the breaches by Compass and Silar (and Assat Resolution). Plaintiff

The principals of Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution) are also personally liable

- 211. As a result of the breaches by Compass and Silar (and Asset Resolution), Plaintiffs have been damaged in accordance with their fractional beneficial interests in the Loans in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 212. As a result of Compass's and Silar's (and Asset Resolution's) actions, Plaintiffs are also entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### **G.** Conversion Against All Defendants

- 213. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 214. Defendants wrongfully and knowingly exerted dominion and control over funds obtained in connection with the Loans that were supposed to be paid to the direct lenders.
- 215. Defendants' wrongful taking of such funds was inconsistent with, or in derogation, exclusion, or defiance of Plaintiffs' rights to possession of those funds.
- 216. As a result of Defendants' conversion of those funds, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in accordance with their fractional beneficial interests in the Loans in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 217. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs are also entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### H. <u>Civil Conspiracy Against All Defendants</u>

- 218. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 219. Defendants acted in concert with the intention of unlawfully enriching themselves to the financial detriment of the direct lenders.
- 220. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs have been damaged in accordance with their fractional beneficial interests in the Loans in an amount to be determined at trial.

221. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs are also entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### I. Alter Ego Against Silar

- 222. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 223. Asset Resolution is wholly owned by Silar, had no employees, and was formed merely as a corporate shell to be, and that was, operated as a single economic entity by Silar, including specifically Leeds, Gracin, and Tai.
- 224. SOS was an affiliate of Silar that was formed merely as a corporate shell to be, and that was, operated as a single economic entity by Silar, including specifically Leeds, Gracin, and Tai.
- 225. Silar wrongfully and knowingly used Asset Resolution as a mere instrumentality or alter ego to effectuate an injustice on the direct lenders. Silar improperly sought to use Asset Resolution as a shield against the direct lenders' damages claims while purporting to obtain all the benefits of ownership of the Purchased Assets.
- 226. Silar wrongfully and knowingly used SOS as a mere instrumentality or alter ego to effectuate an injustice on the direct lenders.
- 227. As a result of its elaborate shell game with Asset Resolution and SOS, Silar is liable to Plaintiffs for the loan servicing misconduct that it perpetrated by and through Asset Resolution and SOS.
- 228. As a result of Silar's actions, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### J. <u>Declaratory Judgment Against Compass And Silar</u>

- 229. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 230. Defendants are collaterally estopped from challenging the prior rulings made by the District Court in the 892 Case in connection with the Loans, the LSAs, the 51% Rule, the loan servicing compensation to which the loan servicer under the LSAs is entitled, the loan servicers

under the LSAs being "mortgage brokers" under Nevada law, the lack of requisite powers of attorney held by the loan servicers under the LSAs, the inability of the loan servicers under the LSAs to pursue damages claims against the direct lenders, and Silar's liability for Compass's loan servicing misconduct.

231. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaratory judgment that confirms that the declaratory relief recognized by the District Court in the 892 Case also applies to Plaintiffs herein, and that Defendants are collaterally estopped from challenging such declaratory relief.

#### K. Constructive Trust Against All Defendants

- 232. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 233. Defendants have wrongfully and inequitably taken and retained funds obtained in connection with the Loans to which they are not entitled. Because it would be inequitable for Defendants to retain those funds, the imposition of a constructive trust related to those funds is essential to effectuate justice and to ensure the protection of Plaintiffs' rights in and to those funds.

#### L. <u>Attorneys' Fees Against Compass And Silar</u>

- 234. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 235. Pursuant to section 15 of the predominant "Type 4" LSAs, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action and on appeal for prevailing on their claims to enforce provisions of the LSAs.

VI.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

236. Plaintiffs demand a jury trial on all issues so triable.

1 VII. 2 REQUEST FOR RELIEF 3 237. In light of the foregoing, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter judgment 4 for Plaintiffs and against Defendants, awarding Plaintiffs and all others similarly situated the 5 following relief: 6 A declaration confirming that the declaratory relief recognized by the District a. 7 Court in the 892 Case also applies to Plaintiffs and all members of the class, and that Defendants are collaterally estopped from challenging such 8 declaratory relief; 9 b. Actual, compensatory, consequential, double, and treble damages in accordance with their fractional beneficial interests in the Loans in an amount 10 to be determined at trial; 11 Punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial; c. 12 Costs of Court; d. 13 Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs herein and on any e. appeal; 14 f. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rates; 15 The imposition of a constructive trust on funds improperly retained by g. 16 Defendants to which they are not entitled; and 17 Such other and further relief to which Plaintiffs and the members of the class h. may be entitled and which this Court deems just and proper. 18 19 20 Dated: April 13, 2011. **BICKEL & BREWER** 21 LAW OFFICE OF LISA RASMUSSEN, ESQ. 22 23 s/Lisa Rasmussen 24 By: LISA RASMUSSEN, ESQ. 25 26 27 28

1			Exhibit A
2		1.	Jackie Adams
3		2.	John F. Adams
4		3.	Leonard C. Adams, individually and/or on behalf of the Leonard Adams Revocable
5	Trust		Decided C. Fidamis, marviadary und of on behalf of the Beomard Fidamis Revocable
6		4	Danica M. Adama individually and/on an habalf of the Lagrand Adama Days ashla
7		4.	Denise M. Adams, individually and/or on behalf of the Leonard Adams Revocable
8	Trust		
9	-	5.	Michael J. Adams
10 11		6.	Kenneth Addes, individually and/or on behalf of the Kenneth Addes IRA and the
12	Addes 7	Γrust	
13		7.	Victoria Addes, individually and/or on behalf of the Addes Trust
14	8	8.	Suzanne Ahlert, individually and/or on behalf of the Labossiere Family Trust Dated
15	3/20/98		
16	Ģ	9.	Michel F. Aiello, individually and/or on behalf of the Michel F. Aiello and Patricia A.
17	Aiello T	rust D	Pated 10/4/94
18		10.	Patricia A. Aiello, individually and/or on behalf of the Michel F. Aiello and Patricia
19	A. Aiell	o Trus	st Dated 10/4/94
20	,	11.	Charles Jeremy Ainsworth
21   22		12.	Adib M. Al-Awar, individually and/or on behalf of the Al-Awar Living Trust dated
23	04/05/01	1	
24		13.	Ellen A. Al-Awar, individually and/or on behalf of the Al-Awar Living Trust dated
25	04/05/0	1	
26		14.	David J. Albiol
27		15.	Marcia C. Albiol
28		16.	Henry C. Albiol
	-	10.	Tionly C. Albioi

**PAGE 50 OF 117** 

1	17.	Dr. Florence Alexander, individually and/or on behalf of the Stanley Alexander Trust
2	18.	Dr. Stanley Alexander, individually and/or on behalf of the Stanley Alexander Trust
3	19.	Donna L. Allgeier, individually and/or on behalf of the R.L. Allgeier Family Trus
4	dated 10/4/97	
5	20.	Robert L. Allgeier, individually and/or on behalf of the R.L. Allgeier Family Trus
6 7	dated 10/4/97	
8	21.	Karen R. Allison
9	22.	Barbara A. Altman, individually and/or on behalf of the Altman Living Trust dated
0	11/4/04	
1	23.	Daniel C. Altman, individually and/or on behalf of the Altman Living Trust dated
12	11/4/04	
13	24.	Steven C. Altman
4	25.	
15		Agnes Alves, individually and/or on behalf of AIG Limited, a Nevada Limited
l6 l7	Partnership	
18	26.	Arnold Alves, individually and/or on behalf of AIG Limited, a Nevada Limited
9	Partnership	
20	27.	August J. Amaral, individually and/or on behalf of the August J. Amaral, Inc., a
21	Nevada corpo	ration
22	28.	Barbara Andersen
23	29.	Kes G. Andersen
24	30.	Ruth Andersen
25	31.	Wendell Andersen
26	32.	Lynda Gay Anderson, individually and/or on behalf of the Lynda Gay Anderson
27 28	Trust dated 7/	7/04
20		

1	33.	Robert P. Anderson, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert P. Anderson
2	Revocable Li	ving Trust
3	34.	Roberta J. Anderson, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert P. Anderson
4	Revocable Li	ving Trust
5	35.	Albert D. Andrade
6	36.	John P. Aquino
7 8	37.	Lisa Aquino
9		•
10	38.	Donna Arbogast, individually and/or on behalf of the Arbogast Family Trust
11	39.	Rodney J. Arbogast, individually and/or on behalf of the Arbogast Family Trust
12	40.	Suzanne L. Arbogast
13	41.	Guy Archer
14	42.	Albert M. Arechiga
15	43.	Richard Armijo, individually and/or on behalf of the Armijo Family Trust dated
16	8/19/1999	
17	44.	Sanayha Armijo, individually and/or on behalf of the Armijo Family Trust dated
18	8/19/1999	
19	45.	Edwin E. Arnold, individually and/or on behalf of the Edwin E. Arnold IRA
20	46.	Allan Arthur, individually and/or on behalf of X-Factor, Inc., a Nevada corporation
21	47.	Darlene Ashdown
22   23	48.	Mary E. Asselin, individually and/or on behalf of the 1994 Robert Asselin and Mary
24	Asselin Fami	
25	49.	Robert J. Asselin, individually and/or on behalf of the 1994 Robert Asselin and Mary
26		·
27	Asselin Fami	
28	50.	Michael Au
	51.	Eugene Auffert

PAGE 52 OF 117

	Case 3:11-0	ev-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 53 of 117
1	52.	Maria Teresa Auffert
2	53.	James B. Avanzino
3	54.	Cindy Avena, individually and/or on behalf of the Avena Living Trust dated 3/28/84
4	55.	Thomas Avena, individually and/or on behalf of the Avena Living Trust dated
5	3/28/84	
7	56.	Loretta D. Backes, individually and/or on behalf of the Backes Family Trust dated
8	8/8/88	
9	57.	Paul P. Backes, individually and/or on behalf of the Backes Family Trust dated 8/8/8
10	58.	Peter L. Backes, individually and/or on behalf of the Peter L. Backes Trust
11	59.	David Bailey
12	60.	Sigfried Baker
13	61.	Don L. Barnes
14	62.	Miriam M. T. Barnes
15		
16 17	63.	James W. Barnes, individually and/or on behalf of the JWB Trust Agreement dated
18	8/1/97	
19	64.	Clark Baron, individually and/or on behalf of the Baron Family Trust
20	65.	Joyce Baron, individually and/or on behalf of the Baron Family Trust
21	66.	Clark R. Bartkowski, individually and/or on behalf of the Bartkowski Family Trus
22	dated 8/25/19	984
23	67.	Jean P. Bartkowski, individually and/or on behalf of the Bartkowski Family Trus
24	dated 8/25/19	84
25	68.	Jed Barish, individually and/or on behalf of Simmtex, Inc., a Nevada Corporation
26	69.	David Barker
27	70.	Lisa Barker
28	71.	Gary R. Barton

PAGE 53 OF 117

Gerald L. Bittner, Sr., individually and/or on behalf of the Gerald L. Bittner Sr. DDS,

86.

87.

Susan I. Bittner

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and Trust and Gerald L. Bittner, Sr. DDS Profit Sharing Trust

1	8	88.	Karen G. Blachly
2	8	89.	Carolyn N. Blackman, individually and/or on behalf of the Jerry L. Blackman, Sr. and
3	Carolyn	N. Bla	ackman Living Trust dated 11/26/01
4	9	00.	Jerry L. Blackman, Sr., individually and/or on behalf of the Jerry L. Blackman, Sr
5	and Caro	olvn N	J. Blackman Living Trust dated 11/26/01
6		01.	Daryl L. Blanck, individually and/or on behalf of the Restated Trust and Dary
7			
8			vonne Blanck Trust dated 3/23/94
9		2.	Yvonee M. Blanck, individually and/or on behalf of the Restated Trust and Dary
10	Blanck a	ınd Yv	vonne Blanck Trust dated 3/23/94
12	9	93.	Michael S. Blau
13	9	94.	Shamiran Blau
14	9	95.	Charma N. Block
15	9	06.	Jerome L. Block
16	9	7.	David M. Blood
17	9	98.	Judy A. Blood, individually and/or on behalf of the Blood Family Trust dated 5/18/99
18	9	9.	Russell M. Blood, individually and/or on behalf of the Blood Family Trust dated
19	5/18/99		
20		.00.	Carrie Boak
21			
22		01.	Harkley E. Boak
23	1	02.	Cynthia Reed Boegel
24	1	03.	Dennis W. Boegel
25	1	04.	Betty Boese
26	1	05.	John S. Borkoski, individually and/or on behalf of the Payette Lakes Consulting LLC
27	401k		
28			

1	106.	Kathleen K. Borkoski, individually and/or on behalf of the Kali Gene Borkoski Trust	
2	dated 12/21/89		
3	107.	Charles E. Borom	
4	108.	Lanna G. Borom	
5	109.	Alice D. Boyce	
7	110.	William Boyce II	
8	111.	Richard A. Bradbury, individually and/or on behalf of the Bradbury Retirement Plan	
9	and Trust date	ed 5/26/99	
10	112.	Sarah S. Bradbury, individually and/or on behalf of the Bradbury Retirement Plan and	
11	Trust dated 5/	/26/99	
12	113.	Christine Brager	
13	114.	Anne L. Brant, individually and/or on behalf of the and the Anne L. Brant Survivor's	
14		7/22/87 and the Raymond F. Brant and Anne L. Brant Trust Dated 12/22/92	
15 16	115.	Raymond F. Brant, individually and/or on behalf of the Raymond F. Brant and Anne	
17		t Dated 12/22/92 and the Anne L. Brant Survivor's Trust Dated 5/22/87	
18			
19	116.	Larry R. Brasuell, individually and/or on behalf of the Larry R. and Susan L. Brasuell	
20		Frust Dated 7/22/96	
21	117.	Susan L. Brasuell, individually and/or on behalf of the Larry R. and Susan L. Brasuell	
22	1996 Living 7	Trust Dated 7/22/96	
23	118.	Nancy Brauer, individually and/or on behalf of the Nancy Brauer IRA	
24	119.	Michael Braunstein, individually and/or on behalf of M&M Imports Retirement Plan	
25	Trust		
26   27	120.	Glen J. Brecht, individually and/or on behalf of the Glen J. Brecht Trust dated	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	1/24/86		

PAGE 58 OF 117

1	152.	David S. Cadwallader, individually and/or on behalf of the Cadwallader 2001 Trust
2	153.	Keith J. Cale, individually and/or on behalf of the Cale Family Trust Dated 11/16/88
3	154.	Valerie Callahan
4	155.	Judith Candelario
5	156.	Donna M. Cangelosi, individually and/or on behalf of the Donna M. Cangelos.
7	Family Trust	
8	157.	Margaret M. Cangelosi, individually and/or on behalf of the Margaret M. Cangelos.
9	Family Trust	
0	158.	William A. Carone, individually and/or on behalf of the William A. Carone IRA
1	159.	Anne M. Carpenter
12	160.	Michael R. Carpenter
13	161.	Doyne J. Carson, individually and/or on behalf of the Carson Family Trust dated
14	11/19/04	Degree of Caroni, maximum of the Control of the Caroni family france
16	162.	Elsie L. Carson, individually and/or on behalf of the Carson Family Trust dated
17	11/19/04	Eliste E. Carson, marriadary and or on bondin of the Carson running frust dated
8	163.	Leslie A. Carter, individually and/or on behalf of the Ronald R. Carter and Leslie A
9	Carter Revoca	
20		
21	164.	Ronald R. Carter, individually and/or on behalf of the Ronald R. Carter & Leslie A
22	Carter Revoca	
23	165.	Jairo A. Castillo
24	166.	Tito A. Castillo
25   26	167.	Sandra Castro
27	168.	Mary Ann Catalanello, individually and/or on behalf of the Catalanello Trust Dated
28	2/1/99	

PAGE 61 OF 117

	Case 3:11-c	ev-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 62 of 117
1	200.	Nelson L. Cohen, individually and/or on behalf of the Nelson L. Cohen IRA
2	201.	Margaret H. Cohn
3	202.	Peter C. Cohn
5	203.	Anita J. Colamonico
6	204.	Steve M. Colamonico
7	205.	Larry Colborn, individually and/or on behalf of the Colborn Revocable Living Trus
8	dated 8/6/90	
9	206.	Loretta Colborn, individually and/or on behalf of the Colborn Revocable Living Trus
10	dated 8/6/90	
11	207.	Shirley M. Collins, individually and/or on behalf of the Collins Family Trust dated
12	1/29/93	
13 14	208.	David J. Conklin
15	209.	Howard Connell
16	210.	Lorene Connell
17	211.	Daniel O. Conner, individually and/or on behalf of the Daniel Conner IRA
18	212.	Doreen C. Conrad
19	213.	Terrence D. Conrad
20	214.	Thomas Raymond Conway
21 22	215.	Victoria C.E. Conway
23	216.	Harold Corcoran
24	217.	Joyce Corcoran
25	218.	Joseph L. Costello, individually and/or on behalf of 1823 Corporation, a Californi
26	corporation	
27	219.	Maria Cotch
28	220.	Karen Cottrell
		PAGE 62 OF 117
	i	

	Case 3:11-c	v-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 63 of 117
1	221.	Kevon Cottrell
2	222.	Melanie Cowan
3	223.	Robert J. Cowen, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert J. Cowen Trust Dated
4	11/7/97	
5	224.	Frankye D. Craig, individually and/or on behalf of the Craig Living Trust dated
6 7	08/10/00	
8	225.	Howard L. Craig, individually and/or on behalf of the Craig Living Trust dated
9	08/10/00	
10	226.	Gareth A. R. Craner, individually and/or on behalf of the Craner Family Trust under
11		
12		ated 2/23/99 and the Gareth A.R. Craner Trust Dated 6/1/02
13	227.	Peter C. Cranston, individually and/or on behalf of the Peter C. Cranston IRA
14	228.	Christine Craven
15	229.	Lee Craven
16	230.	Arline L. Cronk, individually and/or on behalf of the Arline L. Cronk and Edward H.
17	Davies Living	g Trust Dated 6/27/03
18	231.	Charles Duke Cummins
19	232.	April May Cummins
20	233.	Charles D. Cunningham, individually and/or on behalf of the Charles D. Cunningham
21   22	IRA	
23	234.	Susan M. Cunningham
24	235.	Shirley Cupp-Doe, individually and/or on behalf of the Ronald G. Doe Marital Trust
25	dated 1/6/95	Similey Supp 200, marriadary and or on behalf of the Rohard G. 200 Marriar Trust
26		
27	236.	Fernando Cuza
28	237.	Kristi Cuza
	238.	Maureen Da Costa

PAGE 63 OF 117

	Case	3:11-c	v-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 64 of 117
1		239.	Richard N. Dahlke
2		240.	Robert J. D'Ambrosio, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert J. D'Ambrosio
3   4	IRA		
5		241.	Klint Thomas Danna, individually and/or on behalf of the Danna Trust Agreement o
6	1990		
7		242.	Patricia Danna, individually and/or on behalf of the Danna Trust Agreement of 1990
8		243.	Cathy A. Daniel, individually and/or on behalf of the Daniel Living Trust as
9	Amen	ded Dat	ted 1/9/98
10		244.	Deborah A. Daniel
11		245.	Denise M. Daniel
12		246.	Gregory Scott Daniel
13   14		247.	Leslie S. Daniel
15		248.	Mark A. Daniel, individually and/or on behalf of the Daniel Living Trust as Amended
16	Dated	1/9/98	
17		249.	Andrew Dauscher
18		250.	Ellen D. Dauscher
19		251.	Frank Davenport
20		252.	O.H. Davenport
21		253.	Chesley R. Davies
22   23		254.	Edward H. Davies, individually and/or on behalf of the Arline L. Cronk and Edward
24	H. Da		ring Trust Dated 6/27/03
25		255.	Mary E. Davies
26		256.	Frederick J. Davis
27			
28		257.	Joseph Davis, individually and/or on behalf of the Davis Family Trust  Martin A. Davis, individually and/or or behalf of the Davis Family 2000 Trust
		258.	Martin A. Davis, individually and/or on behalf of the Davis Family 2000 Trust

**PAGE 64 OF 117** 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dias Dated 12/4/00

### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 66 of 117 277. Eric C. Disbrow, M.D., individually and/or on behalf of the Eric Disbrow Profit Sharing Plan 278. Marion B. Dittman 279. Leah K. Dobyne, individually and/or on behalf of the Leah Dobyne IRA and Dobyne Living Trust 280. Robert S. Dobyne, individually and/or on behalf of the Dobyne Living Trust 281. Elizabeth Dokken-Baxter, individually and/or on behalf of the Elizabeth P. Dokken Trust Dated 1/27/93 282. Carrie Donahue, individually and/or on behalf of the Glenn and Carrie Donahue Living Trust Dated 4/30/94 283. Glenn M. Donahue, individually and/or on behalf of the Glenn and Carrie Donahue Living Trust Dated 4/30/94 284. Michael Donahue 285. Mieko Donovan 286. Richard Donovan 287. Joseph Donnolo, individually and/or on behalf of the Donnolo Family Trust Dated 8/24/88 288. Loretta Donnolo, individually and/or on behalf of the Donnolo Family Trust Dated 8/24/88 289. D. Joseph Doucet, individually and/or on behalf of the D. Joseph and Louise M. Doucet 1989 Trust Dated 3/30/89 290. Louise M. Doucet, individually and/or on behalf of the D. Joseph and Louise M. Doucet 1989 Trust Dated 3/30/89

292. Johnine M. Doutt

David B. Doutt, Sr.

291.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 67 of 117

1	293.	William A. Downey	
2	294.	Jill M. Doyle, individually and/or on behalf of the Doyle Family Trust Dated 9/23/99	
3	295.	Patrick J. Doyle, individually and/or on behalf of the Doyle Family Trust Dated	
4	9/23/99		
5		Doniel T. Doniel individually and/on on helpolf of the Doniel T. Doniel IDA and	
6	296.	Daniel T. Drubin, individually and/or on behalf of the Daniel T. Drubin IRA and	
7	Daniel T. Dr	ubin Sep IRA	
8	297.	Laura Drubin	
9	298.	Donald Dunbar, individually and/or on behalf of the Donald Dunbar IRA	
10	299.	Alan S. Duncan, individually and/or on behalf of the Alan S. and Carolyn A. Duncan	
11	Declaration of	of Trust Dated 9/3/98	
12	300.	Carolyn A. Duncan, individually and/or on behalf of the Alan S. and Carolyn A.	
13			
14	Duncan Deci	laration of Trust Dated 9/3/98	
15	301.	Charles B. Dunn, IV, individually and/or on behalf of the Charles B. Dunn, IV Trust	
16	dated 4/4/00	and Charles B. Dunn, IV Trust dated 8/12/05	
17	302.	Ford S. Dunton	
18	303.	Penny Dupin	
19	304.	William Dupin	
20	305.	Ellen V. Dustman	
21			
22	306.	Cynthia Deann Dutt, individually and/or on behalf of the C. Deann Dutt Trust and the	
23	Wayne A. Di	utt Trust	
24	307.	Wayne A. Dutt, individually and/or on behalf of the C. Deann Dutt Trust and the	
25	Wayne A. Dutt Trust		
26	308.	Jack L. Dysart	
27	309.	Carol A. Eastland, individually and/or on behalf of the Eastland Family Joint Living	
28	Trust Dated		
	T THUSE DOUBLE	1/ 13//3/3/	

## Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 68 of 117

1	310.	William C. Eastland, individually and/or on behalf of the Eastland Family Join	
2	Living Trust Dated 1/18/00		
3	311.	Monica C. Ebaugh	
4	312.	Karen Eberlin	
5	313.	Raymond J. Eberlin	
6 7	314.	Robert Roy Ecker	
8	315.	Carol A. Eller	
9	316.	Jonathan M. Eller, individually and/or on behalf of the Jonathan M. Eller Inc., a	
10	California Co	·	
11	317.	Cynthia Elowitz	
12	318.		
13		Scott J. Elowitz	
14	319.	Dr. David R. Enrico	
15	320.	Dr. Bonny K. Enrico	
16	321.	Harold Epstein, individually and/or on behalf of the Harold Epstein Revocable Living	
17	Trust Dated 2	/14/91	
18	322.	Dolores Y. Erickson	
19	323.	Eric T. Erickson	
20	324.	Ruth A. Errington, individually and/or on behalf of the Ruth A. Errington Living	
21	Trust Dated 1	1/22/04	
22   23	325.	William F. Errington	
24	326.	Cindy Essaff, individually and/or on behalf of the Essaff Family Trust dated 6/18/02	
25			
26	327.	Robert Essaff, individually and/or on behalf of the Essaff Family Trust dated 6/18/02	
27	328.	Melinda Estevez	
28	329.	Richard D. Estevez	
	330.	John P. Everett	

PAGE 68 OF 117

PAGE 69 OF 117

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 70 of 117 347. Dionisio A. Fernandes 348. Fiola F. Fernandes Jason D. Fernandes 349. 350. Melissa A. Fernandes 351. Larry Fernandez, individually and/or on behalf of the Fernandez Family Trust Dated 6/20/84 352. Evelyn C. Ferrera R. David Ferrera, individually and/or on behalf of the R. David Ferrera IRA and the 353. Sacramento Research Medical Group Defined Benefit Pension Plan 354. Arlene J. Fine, individually and/or on behalf of the Arlene J. Fine IRA 355. Lewis H. Fine, individually and/or on behalf of the Lewis H. Fine IRA 356. Karen B. Finkel, individually and/or on behalf of the Finkel Family Trust Dated 7/26/05 357. Ronald G. Finkel, individually and/or on behalf of the Finkel Family Trust Dated 7/26/05 358. Richard T. Fiory, individually and/or on behalf of Topflight Specs Profit Sharing Plan, and the Richard T. Fiory Revocable Trust Dated 5/30/01 359. M. Evelyn Fisher 360. John L. Fisher 361. Sharon R. Fitzgerald 362. William B. Fitzgerald Betty R. Flood, individually and/or on behalf of the Flood Family Trust Dated 363. 12/24/85 Donald T. Flood, individually and/or on behalf of the Flood Family Trust Dated 364.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12/24/85

PAGE 70 OF 117

Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 71 of 117			
	365.	Tad Folendorf	
	366.	Timothy Folendorf, individually and/or on behalf of the Timothy Folendorf Trust	
Dated 3/21/00			
	367.	Allen Forbes	
	368.	Dianne E. Foreman, individually and/or on behalf of the Edwin and Dianne Foreman	
Trust			
	369.	Edwin L. Foreman, individually and/or on behalf of the Edwin and Dianne Foreman	
Trust			
	370.	Fred J. Foxcroft, individually and/or on behalf of the Foxcroft Living Trust Dated	
1/10/02			
	371.	Roberta Foxcroft, individually and/or on behalf of the Foxcroft Living Trust Dated	
1/10/02			
	372.	John V. Fragola	
	373.	Karen Frankel	
	374.	Stephen Frankel	
	375.	Edward C. Fraser, individually and/or on behalf of the Edward C. Fraser IRA and	
Fraser Atwater Properties, LLC			
	376.	Marjorie E. Fraser	
	377.	John R. Frederickson, individually and/or on behalf of the Frederickson Trust Dated	
10/2/03			
	378.	Michelle L. Frederickson, individually and/or on behalf of the Frederickson Trust	
Dated 10/2/03			
	379.	Eric B. Freedus	
	380.	Linda P. Freedus	
	381.	Barbara Frey, individually and/or on behalf of the Frey Family Trust	

PAGE 71 OF 117

## Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 72 of 117

1	382.	Donald Frey, individually and/or on behalf of the Frey Family Trust		
2	383.	Alan B. Friedman		
3	384.	Robert G. Fuller, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert G. Fuller IRA and the		
4	RGF Revocable Trust			
5	385.	Theodore J. Fuller, individually and/or on behalf of the Fuller Family Foundation and		
6				
7	the Fuller Family Trust Dated 5/29/97			
8	386.	Joan L. Fuller, individually and/or on behalf of the Fuller Family Trust Dated 5/29/97		
9	387.	Glenn W. Gaboury		
10	388.	Sharon M. Gaboury		
11	389.	Darlene C. Gage, individually and/or on behalf of Norscot Financial Corp. and the		
12	Dakota Trust Dated 9/16/96			
13	390.	Jerry L. Gage, individually and/or on behalf of Norscot Financial Corp. and the		
14		Dated 9/16/96		
15				
16	391.	Paul L. Garcell, individually and/or on behalf of What's On LP		
17	392.	Blair F. Garth		
18	393.	Frederick D. Garth		
19	394.	Alex G. Gassiot, individually and/or on behalf of the APG Trust Dated 7/5/00		
20	395.	Joan B. Gassiot, individually and/or on behalf of the Joan B. Gassiot 1987 Trus		
21   22	Dated 8/7/87 and the Joan B. Gassiot IRA			
23	396.	Edmund G. Gaylord		
24	397.	Aylene Geringer		
25				
26	398.	Peter Gilbert, individually and/or on behalf of the Botton Living Trust Dated		
27	11/17/00			
28	399.	Nancy R. Gilmour, individually and/or on behalf of the Nancy R. Gilmour IRA		
	400.	Richard W. Gilmour, individually and/or on behalf of the Richard W. Gilmour IRA		

**PAGE 72 OF 117** 

Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 73 of 117		
401.	Marvin W. Gittelman	
402.	Toby E. Gittelman	
403.	Gale Gladstone-Katz, individually and/or on behalf of the Gale Gladstone-Katz	
Revocable I	Living Trust Dated 12/10/03	
404.	Cynthia J. Godfrey, individually and/or on behalf of the William R. and Cynthia J.	
Godfrey Liv	ing Trust	
405.	William R. Godfrey, individually and/or on behalf of the William R. and Cynthia J.	
Godfrey Liv	ing Trust	
406.	Alicia Goffstein, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert and Alicia Goffstein	
Trust Dated	2/25/92	
407.	Robert Goffstein, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert and Alicia Goffstein	
Trust Dated	2/25/92	
408.	Nancy Golden	
409.	Jack Goldenthal	
410.	Sylvia Goldenthal	
411.	Barry J. Goldstein	
412.	Patricia B. Goldstein	
413.	Martin Gonska, individually and/or on behalf of the Gonska Foundation, LLC, a	
Nevada Lim	ited Liability Company	
414.	James Paul Goode, individually and/or on behalf of the James Paul Goode IRA	
415.	Rachelle A. Goodness, individually and/or on behalf of the Goodness Living Trust	
Dated 6/28/00		
416.	Lynelle L. Goodreau	
417.	Michael J. Goodwin	

418. Florence Goulding

PAGE 74 OF 117

Dated 5/8/90

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 75 of 117

1	434.	Raymond T. Grenier, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of the Raymond T. Grenier, Jr.
2	Trust Dated 2	/10/93
3	435.	Carter L. Grenz
4	436.	Alan Groh, individually and/or on behalf of the Alan B. Groh IRA
5	437.	Norma Lamb-Groves, individually and/or on behalf of the Norma Lamb-Groves Trust
7	Dated 2/18/03	3
8	438.	Wynn A. Gunderson
9	439.	Lorraine J. Gunderson
10	440.	Toby A. Gunning
11	441.	Barbara L. Gunther
12	442.	Brenda G. Hadjigeorgalis
13	443.	Michael Hadjigeorgalis
14 15	444.	George W. Hall, individually and/or on behalf of the George W. Hall and Susan P.
16	Hall Revocab	le Family Trust
17	445.	Susan P. Hall, individually and/or on behalf of the George W. Hall and Susan P. Hall
18	Revocable Fa	
19	446.	Joanne Halvorson
20	447.	Suzanne M. Halvorson, individually and/or on behalf of the Suzanne M. Halvorson
21	Trust Dated 3	
22	448.	Thomas L. Halvorson
23 24	449.	Charles Hamm
25	450.	Darlene Hammond, individually and/or on behalf of the Dar Living Trust Dated
26	2/12/03	Darrene Hammond, individually and/or on behan of the Dar Living Hust Dated
27		Vrig I Hampar
28	451.	Kris J. Hamper

1	45	52.	Gloria W. Handelman, individually and/or on behalf of the Handelman Charitable
2	Remaind	er Un	itrust Dated 5/3/97
3	45	53.	Jim Handelman
4	45	54.	William L. Hane, individually and/or on behalf of Mesa LLC, a Nevada Limited
5	Liability	Comp	pany
6 7	45	55.	Stan A. Hanes, individually and/or on behalf of the Stan A. Hanes and Vicki M.
8	Hanes Re	evocal	ble Trust Dated 9/15/03 and the S.A. Hanes Profit Sharing Plan
9	45	56.	Vicki M. Hanes, individually and/or on behalf of the Stan A. Hanes and Vicki M.
10			ble Trust Dated 9/15/03
11			Donna J. Hansen, individually and/or on behalf of the Hansen Family Trust Dated
12	6/6/89	57.	Doma 3. Transen, marviduary and/or on benan or the Transen running trast Batea
13		58.	Kenneth L. Hansen, individually and/or on behalf of the Hansen Family Trust Dated
14		56.	Remetil L. Hansen, murvidually and/of on behalf of the Hansen Panning Trust Dated
15	6/6/89		
16	45	59.	Paul Hargis
17	40	60.	Susan Gail Hargis
18	40	61.	Gayle Harkins, individually and/or on behalf of the Lisek Family Trust
19	40	62.	Jennifer J. Harmon
20   21	40	63.	Dwight W. Harouff, individually and/or on behalf of Gold Plated LLC and the
22	Harouff (	Charit	able Remainder Trust Dated 9/5/96
23	40	64.	Mary Ann Harouff, individually and/or on behalf of the Harouff Charitable
24	Remaind	er Tru	ast Dated 9/5/96
25	40	65.	Beverly J. Harrington
26	40	66.	Suze Harrington
27	40		Thomas T. Harrington
28			~

1	468.	Marguerite Harrison, individually and/or on behalf of the Harrison Family Trus
2	Dated 7/27/99	
3	469.	Thomas B. Harrison, individually and/or on behalf of the Harrison Family Trus
4	Dated 7/27/99	
5	470.	Kay J. Hart
6	471.	Marisa D. Harvey
7 8	472.	Jerome L. Harvey, Jr.
9		
10	473.	Kevin J. Haselhorst
11	474.	John D. Hathcock
12	475.	Susan K. Hathcock
13	476.	Curtis Hattstrom, individually and/or on behalf of the Curtis Hattstrom IRA
14	477.	Virginia Hattstrom
15	478.	Bette Hauserman
16	479.	Earl Hauserman, individually and/or on behalf of the Earl Hauserman IRA
17	480.	Edwin L. Hausler, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of the Edwin Lowell Hausler, Jr.
18	Living Trust l	Dated 1/3/92
19	481.	Glenda G. Haynes
20	482.	Leo L. Haynes
21	483.	Roland Hearn, individually and/or on behalf of the Roland J. Hearn and Risa V.S
22		Trust Dated 2/19/93
23		
24	484.	Risa V.S. Hearn, individually and/or on behalf of the Roland J. Hearn and Risa V.S
25 26	Hearn Living	Trust Dated 2/19/93
27	485.	James F. Heaton, individually and/or on behalf of the Heaton Family Trust Dated
28	12/4/95	

## Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 78 of 117 486. Nadine Heaton, individually and/or on behalf of the Heaton Family Trust Dated 12/4/95 487. Rose O. Hecker 488. Barbara Heffner, individually and/or on behalf of the Heffner Family Trust Dated 9/10/02

- 489. Mike Heffner, individually and/or on behalf of the Heffner Family Trust Dated
- 490. Jocelyne Helzer, individually and/or on behalf of the Jocelyne Helzer IRA
  - 491. Jay E. Henman, individually and/or on behalf of the Jay E. Henman Retirement Plan
  - 492. Judith J. Hennen
  - 493. Virgil P. Hennen
  - 494. Oliver Henry
  - 495. Allen Herd, individually and/or on behalf of the Herd Family Trust Dated 4/23/90
  - 496. Marilyn Herd, individually and/or on behalf of the Herd Family Trust Dated 4/23/90
  - 497. Allan R. Herndobler
  - 498. Sue Herndobler
- 499. Brian K. Herndon, individually and/or on behalf of the Herndon Family Trust Dated 5/29/97
  - 500. Sharon L. Herndon, individually and/or on behalf of the Herndon Family Trust Dated
- 501. Donald A. Herrmann
  - 502. Nancy E. Herrmann
  - 503. Donald L. Hess
  - 504. Judith A. Heyboer

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5/29/97

9/10/02

28

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 79 of 117 505. Philip Higerd, individually and/or on behalf of the Philip Higerd Family Trust Dated 5/30/03 506. Brenda J. High, individually and/or on behalf of the Brenda High IRA 507. Edward O. High 508. Hamilton M. High, individually and/or on behalf of the Hamilton High IRA 509. Marilyn Hilborn, individually and/or on behalf of the Marilyn Hilborn Trust Dated 11/18/93 510. Robert W. Hill 511. Christopher W. Hine, individually and/or on behalf of the Hine Family Trust Dated March 26, 2003 512. Nancy D. Hine, individually and/or on behalf of the Hine Family Trust Dated March 26, 2003 513. Jay P. Hingst, individually and/or on behalf of the Jay P. Hingst IRA 514. Gail M. Hock 515. Gail Hodes, individually and/or on behalf of the Gail Hodes Living Trust Dated 9/10/03 516. Cheryl Hoff 517. Richard K. Hoff 518. John A. Hoglund, individually and/or on behalf of the John A. Hoglund IRA 519. Patricia O. Hoglund 520. Nienke Hohmann 521. Marsha Holeyfield, individually and/or on behalf of the Holeyfield Family Trust Dated 1/12/01 522. Richard Holeyfield, individually and/or on behalf of the Holeyfield Family Trust

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated 1/12/01

PAGE 79 OF 117

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 80 of 117 523. Fred J. Holland, individually and/or on behalf of the Holland Family Trust Dated 1993 524. Marjorie Holland, individually and/or on behalf of the Holland Family Trust Dated 1993 525. Sandra I. Hollander, individually and/or on behalf of the Sandra I. Hollander Revocable Trust 526. Lisa M. Hollifield Delwin C. Holt 527. 528. Basil Honikman 529. Jane I. Honikman, individually and/or on behalf of the Honikman 1992 Trust Dated 12/28/92 530. Linda Honikman 531. Terence C. Honikman, individually and/or on behalf of the Honikman 1992 Trust Dated 12/28/92 532. William T. Hoover 533. Angela J. Howard 534. Lisa Beth Howell 535. Philip Stephen Howell 536. Earl Howsley, Jr. 537. Cathryn J. Hulse, individually and/or on behalf of the Hulse Family Trust 538. Rodney C. Hulse, individually and/or on behalf of the Hulse Family Trust

Richard Ianni

Yelana V. Ilchuk

539.

540.

541.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Todd Humphry, individually and/or on behalf of Action Sports Alliance USA, Inc.

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 81 of 117

1	542.	John A. Ippolito, individually and/or on behalf of the Ippolito Family Trust Dated
2	8/31/89	
3	543.	Patricia M. Ippolito, individually and/or on behalf of the Ippolito Family Trust Dated
4	8/31/89	
5	544.	Stephen C. Irwin
6	545.	Evelyn Ives, individually and/or on behalf of the Melvin J. Ives and Evelyn A. Ives
7 8		t Dated 1/6/93
9	546.	
10		Melvin J. Ives, individually and/or on behalf of the Melvin J. Ives and Evelyn A. Ives
11	Bypass Trus	t Dated 1/6/93
12	547.	Janice Janis, individually and/or on behalf of the Janice Janis Living Trust Dated
13	2/3/99	
14	548.	Charles A. Jensen, individually and/or on behalf of the Ronald G. Doe Marital Trust
15	Dated 1/6/9:	5
16	549.	Jon P. Jensen
17	550.	Tamara L. Jensen
18	551.	Albert G. Johnson, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of the Johnson Family Trust
19	Dated 2/17/9	98
20	552.	Arthur V. Johnson, individually and/or on behalf of the Johnson Family Trust Dated
21	2/18/04	
22	553.	Jerry R. Johnson, individually and/or on behalf of the Jerry R. Johnson IRA
23 24		
25	554.	Larry W. Johnson
26	555.	Marylin Johnson
27	556.	Norma J. Johnson, individually and/or on behalf of the Johnson Family Trust Dated
28	2/17/98	
	557.	Richard A. Johnson

**PAGE 81 OF 117** 

1	558.	Ronald A. Johnson
2	559.	Susan A. Johnson, individually and/or on behalf of the Johnson Family Trust Dated
3	2/18/04	
4	560.	Delbert T. Johnston, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of the Johnston Estate
5	Revocable Tr	rust Dated 5/17/94
6	561.	Diane E. Johnston, individually and/or on behalf of the Johnston Trust Dated 9/7/85
7		
8	562.	Phyllis Johnson
9	563.	Rebecca J. Johnston, individually and/or on behalf of the Johnston Estate Revocable
10	Trust Dated 5	5/17/94
11	564.	Rodney L. Johnston, individually and/or on behalf of the Johnston Trust Dated 9/7/85
12 13	565.	Deborah F. Josifko, individually and/or on behalf of the Josifko Family Living Trust
14	566.	Mark R. Josifko, individually and/or on behalf of Fisko Ventures, LLC and the
15	Josifko Famil	ly Living Trust
16	567.	Staci Kaiser
17	568.	Brigitte Kaneda, individually and/or on behalf of the Kaneda Living Trust Dated
18	4/3/02 and the	e Kaneda Living Trust Dated 5/30/02
19	569.	Ken Kaneda, individually and/or on behalf of the Kaneda Living Trust Dated 4/3/02
20	and the Kane	da Living Trust Dated 5/30/02
21	570.	Marge Karney
22	571.	Jeffrey Craig Karr, individually and/or on behalf of the Phyllis Marina Karr Living
23 24		
25	Trust Dated 8	
26	572.	Phyllis Marina Karr, individually and/or on behalf of the Phyllis Marina Karr Living
27	Trust Dated 8	3/8/05
28	573.	Arthur E. Kebble, individually and/or on behalf of the Arthur E. Kebble and Thelma
-	M. Kebble Fa	amily Trust Dated 5/1/95

PAGE 83 OF 117

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 84 of 117 590. Shirley Klega, individually and/or on behalf of the James and Shirley Klega Trust 591. James R. Kloepfer, individually and/or on behalf of the Kloepfer Trust Dated 11/27/00 592. Nancy Ann Kloepfer, individually and/or on behalf of the Kloepfer Trust Dated 11/27/00 Anna S. Knobel, individually and/or on behalf of the 1996 Knobel Trust Dated 9/5/96 593. 594. David W. Knobel, individually and/or on behalf of the 1996 Knobel Trust Dated 9/5/96 595. Christina Knoles 596. G. Robert Knoles Marcia J. Knox, individually and/or on behalf of the Marcia J. Knox Living Trust 597. Dated 8/16/04 598. Louise Alport Kolberg, individually and/or on behalf of the Louise A. Kolberg Revocable Trust 599. Colette Kopf 600. Klaus Kopf 601. Harvey A. Kornhaber 602. Dorothea K. Kraft 603. Al Kraus 604. Katrina Kraus 605. Arthur L. Kriss, individually and/or on behalf of the Arthur L. Kriss IRA 606. Colita Kwiatkowski, individually and/or on behalf of the Kwiatkowski Revocable Trust 607. Donald H. Kwiatkowski

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

608.

PAGE 84 OF 117

Paul Kwiatkowski, individually and/or on behalf of the Kwiatkowski Revocable Trust

1	609.	Sandra L. Kwiatkowski
2	610.	Wendy Kwong
3	611.	Patrice Labossiere, individually and/or on behalf of the Labossiere Family Trust
4	Dated 3/20/87	7
5	612.	Dina Ladd
6 7	613.	Catherine D. Lafayette
8	614.	Joseph B. Lafayette
9	615.	Jack D. LaFlesch, individually and/or on behalf of the Jack D. LaFlesch IRA
10	616.	Gladys Lanzas
11	617.	Jose M. Lanzas
12	618.	Dolores Larson
13	619.	
14		Gary Larson  Death Ann Leaves in divide the analysis of the Leaves Ferrille Treet Details
15	620.	Ruth Ann Larson, individually and/or on behalf of the Larson Family Trust Dated
16	6/19/94	
17   18	621.	Sidney L. Larson, individually and/or on behalf of the Larson Family Trust Dated
19	6/19/94	
20	622.	Arla M. Lawrence, individually and/or on behalf of the James F. Lawrence and Arla
21	Lawrence Rev	vocable Trust Dated 1/19/04
22	623.	James F. Lawrence, individually and/or on behalf of the James F. Lawrence and Arla
23	Lawrence Rev	vocable Trust Dated 1/19/04
24	624.	Sharon S. Lazar
25	625.	Gene A. Leblanc, individually and/or on behalf of the Gene and Linda Leblanc
26	Family Trust	Dated 8/20/99
27   28	626.	Linda Leblanc, individually and/or on behalf of the Gene and Linda Leblanc Family
-0	Trust Dated 8	/20/99

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 86 of 117 627. Jon J. Lee 628. Tracy Lee Janet L. Leedham, individually and/or on behalf of the Janet L. Leedham Revocable 629. Trust Dated 12/21/98 630. Milton H. Lees, III 631. Carol Lefcourt, individually and/or on behalf of Carol Edward Associates 632. James W. Lehr 633. Julie Ann Lehr 634. Kathleen F. Lehrmann, individually and/or on behalf of the Lehrmann Family Trust Dated 4/19/96 Larry D. Lehrmann, individually and/or on behalf of the Lehrmann Family Trust 635. Dated 4/19/96 Carol Leiby, individually and/or on behalf of the Leiby Family 1992 Trust Dated 636. 7/8/92 637. Richard Leiby, individually and/or on behalf of the Leiby Family 1992 Trust Dated 7/8/92 638. Bruce R. Lemar 639. William H. Lenhart, individually and/or on behalf of the William H. Lenhart Living Trust 640. Jorg U. Lenk, individually and/or on behalf of the Jorg U. Lenk IRA 641. Irwin Levine, individually and/or on behalf of the Irwin Levine IRA 642. Renee Levy, individually and/or on behalf of the RNR Living Trust Dated 10/1/04 643. Robert Levy, individually and/or on behalf of the RNR Living Trust Dated 10/1/04 644. James H. Lidster, individually and/or on behalf of the James H. Lidster Family Trust

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated 1/20/92

1	645.	Phyllus M. Lidster, individually and/or on behalf of the James H. Lidster Family	
2	Trust Dated 1	1/20/92	
3	646.	Patricia Lincoln, individually and/or on behalf of the Stephen and Patricia Lincoln	
4	Trust Dated 8	3/21/03	
5 6	647.	Stephen Lincoln, individually and/or on behalf of the Stephen and Patricia Lincoln	
7	Trust Dated 8	3/21/03	
8	648.	Steve Lindquist, individually and/or on behalf of the Steve Lindquist Charitable	
9	Remainder U	nitrust Dated 9/9/96	
10	649.	Carold Diane Linthicum, individually and/or on behalf of the Linthicum Revocable	
11	Trust Dated 1	1/14/80	
12	650.	James Allen Linthicum, individually and/or on behalf of the Linthicum Revocable	
13   14	Trust Dated 1/14/80		
15	651.	Claire Lisek, individually and/or on behalf of the Lisek Family Trust	
16	652.	Daniel B. Lisek, individually and/or on behalf of the Lisek Family Trust	
17	653.	Douglas Littrell	
18	654.	Joan Littrell	
19	655.	Nicholas Loader, individually and/or on behalf of the Nicholas Loader Trust	
20	656.	Ivan Loebs	
21   22	657.	Jan Logan	
23	658.	Boris M. Lokshin, individually and/or on behalf of the Boris Lokshin IRA and the	
24	Lokshin Fam	ily Trust Dated 6/3/96	
25	659.	Mindy F. Lokshin, individually and/or on behalf of the Lokshin Family Trust Dated	
26	6/3/96		
27	660.	William R. Long	
28	661.	Keith Lopeman	
	I		

1	662.	La Creta Lopeman
2	663.	Richard J. Loughlin, individually and/or on behalf of the Loughlin Family Trust
3	664.	Roberta L. Loughlin, individually and/or on behalf of the Loughlin Family Trust
4	665.	Henri L. Louvigny, individually and/or on behalf of the Henri L. Louvigny IRA and
5	the Henri Lou	avigny and Marcelle A. Louvigny Family Trust Dated 10/18/84
6 7	666.	Marcelle A. Louvigny, individually and/or on behalf of the Henri Louvigny and
8	Marcelle A. L	Louvigny Family Trust Dated 10/18/84
9	667.	Mary M. Lowe, individually and/or on behalf of the Lowe Family Trust
0	668.	Robert L. Lowe, individually and/or on behalf of the Lowe Family Trust
1	669.	Janice Lucas, individually and/or on behalf of the Janice A. Lucas IRA
12	670.	Joanne Lukasavage
13	671.	William Lukasavage
4	672.	Mark Lurie, individually and/or on behalf of the Church of the Movement of Spiritua
l5 l6	Inner Awaren	•
17	673.	Robert D. Lurie
8	674.	Barbara Sue Luthi, individually and/or on behalf of the Barbara Sue Luthi IRA
9		
20	675.	Richard D. Luthi, individually and/or on behalf of the Richard D. Luthi Trust Dated
21	5/20/93	
22	676.	Brant C. Lyall
23	677.	Kathy J. Lyall
24   25	678.	Thomas D. Lynch, individually and/or on behalf of the Thomas D. Lynch Family
26	Foundation	
27	679.	Erika G. Lynn
28	680.	Dianne D. Machock, individually and/or on behalf of the Eugene B. Machock and
	Dianne D. Ma	achock Family Trust Dated 3/3/04

**PAGE 88 OF 117** 

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 89 of 117

1	681.	Eugene B. Machock, individually and/or on behalf of the Eugene B. Machock and	
2	Dianne D. Machock Family Trust Dated 3/3/04		
3	682.	Heidi Machock	
4	683.	Scott Machock	
5	684.	Rogie Madlambyan, individually and/or on behalf of the Rogie Madlambyan Trust	
6 7	Dated 2/22/05	5	
8	685.	Evan J. Madow, individually and/or on behalf of the Evan J. Madow, D.C. Trust	
9	686.	Diane M. Maguire, individually and/or on behalf of the John J. Maguire and Diane M.	
10	Maguire Livii	ng Trust Dated 8/4/00	
11	687.	John J. Maguire, individually and/or on behalf of the John J. Maguire and Diane M.	
12		ng Trust Dated 8/4/00	
13	688.	Lou O. Maldonado, individually and/or on behalf of the Maldonado Trust Under	
14	Agreement D	·	
15 16	689.	John R. Mallin, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of the Mallin Family Trust Dated	
17		John K. Manni, Jr., murviduany and/or on benan or the Manni Panniy Trust Dated	
18	7/12/99		
19	690.	Marie T. Mallin, individually and/or on behalf of the Mallin Family Trust Dated	
20	7/12/99		
21	691.	Guido Mandarino	
22	692.	John Manter	
23	693.	Charles R. Maraden	
24	694.	Alexander W. Marchuk	
25	695.	Doreen W. Marchuk	
26	696.	Alan M. Markus	
27	697.	Trena L. Markus	
28			

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 90 of 117

1	698.	Don P. Marshall, individually and/or on behalf of the Don P. Marshall Trust Dated
2	7/18/95	
3	699.	Mary I. Marson, individually and/or on behalf of the Marson Family Trust Dated
4	6/9/86	
5	700.	Richard P. Marson, individually and/or on behalf of the Marson Family Trust Dated
6 7	6/9/86	
8	701.	James T. Martin
9	702.	Jerrold T. Martin
10		
11	703.	Pamela Jean Marton
12	704.	Gerald B. Marts
13	705.	Linda R. Marts
14	706.	Gladys Mathers
15	707.	Maria I. Mathieu
16	708.	Eddie Mayo
17	709.	Marti McAllister
18	710.	Alicia McBride
19	711.	Marlon McBride
20	712.	Michael R. McCartney
21	713.	Teresa R. McCartney
22   23	714.	James M. McConnell, individually and/or on behalf of the James M. McConnell IRA
24		onnell Family Trust Dated 12/3/81
25	715.	Maudrene F. McConnell, individually and/or on behalf of the McConnell Family
26		·
27	Trust Dated 1	
28	716.	Todd McGillick, individually and/or on behalf of TK & Associates, a Minnesota
	Company	

PAGE 90 OF 117

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 91 of 117

1	717.	Michael T. McGrath, individually and/or on behalf of the 2001 Michael T. McGrath
2	Revocable Tr	ust Dated 12/11/01
3	718.	James E. McKnight
4	719.	Gary McMahon, individually and/or on behalf of the Gary McMahon Sep IRA
5 6	720.	Joann L. McQuerry
7	721.	William L. McQuerry
8	722.	Christina F. McShaffrey, individually and/or on behalf of the McShaffrey Living
9	Trust Dated 3.	/27/81
10	723.	Harvey McShaffrey, individually and/or on behalf of the McShaffrey Living Trust
11	Dated 3/27/81	
12	724.	Bryan J. McWaters
13   14	725.	Lisa J. McWaters
15	726.	Marina Mehlman, individually and/or on behalf of the Marina Mehlman IRA
16	727.	Robert E. Meldrum
17	728.	Greg Milano
18	729.	Jane Milano
19	730.	Teri L. Melvin
20	731.	Joseph J. Melz
21	732.	Linda M. Melz
22   23	733.	Jack R. Mennis, individually and/or on behalf of the Jack Mennis IRA
23 24	734.	Susan A. Mennis
25	735.	Linda Merialdo, individually and/or on behalf of the Linda Merialdo Living Trust
26	Dated 8/6/02	Emai vicinito, marviduany and or or ocian or the Emai vicinito Eiving 1145
27	736.	Grag Milana
28		Greg Milano  Long Milano
	737.	Jane Milano

**PAGE 91 OF 117** 

PAGE 92 OF 117

1	753.	Karen Moberly, individually and/or on behalf of the Karen S. Moberly IRA
2	754.	Charles A. Mohler on behalf of the Bernard Greenblatt Living Trust
3	755.	Matthew Molitch, individually and/or on behalf of the Molitch 1997 Trust and the
4	Matthew Mol	litch IRA
5 6	756.	Jeannie M. Monroe
7	757.	Wesley L. Monroe
8	758.	Ronald K. Monteseno
9	759.	William L. Montgomery, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of the Guaranty Loan
10	Account	
11	760.	Gene Montoya
12 13	761.	Harold G. Moody, individually and/or on behalf of the Moody Family Trust
14	762.	Mary J. Moody, individually and/or on behalf of the Moody Family Trust
15	763.	Arthur B. Moore
16	764.	Ernest J. Moore
17	765.	Patrick J. Moore, individually and/or on behalf of the Muks Realty, LLC, a Californi
18	Limited Liab	ility Company
19	766.	Raymond C. Moore
20   21	767.	Rose Moore
22	768.	William Richard Moreno
23	769.	Rosalie A. Morgan, individually and/or on behalf of the Rosalie A. Morgan IRA and
24	the Rosalie A	llen Morgan Trust Dated 1/31/03
25	770.	Nadine Morton
26	771.	Geoffrey Mott, individually and/or on behalf of the STSK Investments LLC
27	772.	George J. Motto, individually and/or on behalf of the George J. Motto IRA
28		

1	773.	David W. Mounier, individually and/or on behalf of the Donald S. Tomlin and
2	Dorothy R. To	omlin Revocable Trust Dated 10/24/79
3	774.	Frank J. Murphy
4	775.	James Murphy, individually and/or on behalf of the Murphy Family Trust
5	776.	Margaret F. Murphy
6	777.	Tracy Murphy, individually and/or on behalf of the Murphy Family Trust
7	778.	
8		Jean H. Murray, individually and/or on behalf of the Jean H. Murray Separate
9 10	Property Trus	t Dated 9/12/02
10	779.	Kardakh Natto, individually and/or on behalf of D&K Partners, Inc., a Nevada
12	corporation	
13	780.	Kelly F. Neal
14	781.	Carmen Neidig
15	782.	Dan F. Nelson
16	783.	Teri Nelson
17	784.	Gary Nelson, individually and/or on behalf of G&L Nelson Limited Partnership
18	785.	Linda Nelson, individually and/or on behalf of G&L Nelson Limited Partnership
19	786.	Gloria J. Nelson
20	787.	James S. Nelson
21		
22	788.	James S. Nelson, IV
23	789.	Fred G. Neufeld, individually and/or on behalf of the Fred G. Neufeld IRA
24	790.	Karen Ness
25	791.	Kevin Ness
26	792.	Daniel Newman, individually and/or on behalf of the Daniel D. Newman Trust Dated
27 28	11/1/92	
20	793.	David R. Newman, individually and/or on behalf of the Newman Trust Dated 1/26/94

1	5	794.	Freda Newman, individually and/or on behalf of the Freda Newman Trust Dated
2	7/26/84		
3	7	795.	Elsie D. Newman, individually and/or on behalf of the Newman Family Trust Dated
4	9/30/97		
5	7	796.	Larry Newman, individually and/or on behalf of the Newman Family Trust Dated
6	9/30/97		
8		797.	Sandra L. Newman, individually and/or on behalf of the Newman Trust Dated
9	1/26/94		
10		798.	Aleath Nicosia, individually and/or on behalf of the Benjamin and Aleath Nicosia
11			Dated 5/10/02
12		799.	Benjamin Nicosia, individually and/or on behalf of the Benjamin and Aleath Nicosia
13			Dated 5/10/02
14	Tallily	TTUSU	Jaieu 3/10/02
15	8	800.	Richard A. Nielsen, individually and/or on behalf of the Richard A. Nielsen Inc.
16	Profit Sl	haring	Plan and Richard A. Nielsen, Inc., a California Corporation
17	8	801.	Allen M. Nirenstein, individually and/or on behalf of the Allen M. Nirenstein and
18	Dorothy	H. Ni	renstein 1992 Revocable Trust
19	8	802.	Dorothy H. Nirenstein, individually and/or on behalf of the Allen M. Nirenstein and
20	Dorothy	/ <b>Н. N</b> i	irenstein 1992 Revocable Trust
21 22	8	803.	John Nix
23	8	804.	Lisa Nix
24	8	805.	Roger Noorthoek
25	8	806.	Stanley M. Novara, individually and/or on behalf of the Stanley M. Novara Family
26	Trust Da	ated 1	1/12/04
27		807.	Joan Nunes
28			

1	808.	Henry Obermuller, individually and/or on behalf of the Henry and Mengia
2	Obermuller T	rust Dated 9/14/90
3	809.	Mengia Obermuller, individually and/or on behalf of the Henry and Mengia
4	Obermuller T	Frust Dated 9/14/90
5	810.	Olga O'Buch, individually and/or on behalf of the Olga O'Buch Trust Dated 5/28/98
6 7	811.	Cathleen B. O'Connor, individually and/or on behalf of the O'Connor Revocable
8	Trust UTD D	
9	812.	Robert H. O'Connor, individually and/or on behalf of the O'Connor Revocable Trus
10	UTD Dated 9	
11		
12	813.	Robert L. Ogren, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert L. Ogren Trust Dated
13	6/30/92	
14	814.	Betty R. Ogren
15	815.	William W. Ogren
16	816.	Irene R. O'Hare, individually and/or on behalf of the Irene R. O'Hare Trust Dated
17	7/28/88	
18	817.	Diana A. Oldham
19	818.	David M. Olds
20	819.	Sally W. Olds
21   22	820.	Kevin Olsen, individually and/or on behalf of the Universal Management, Inc.
23	821.	Annie Omaye, individually and/or on behalf of the Omaye 1990 Trust
24	822.	Stanley Omaye, individually and/or on behalf of the Omaye 1990 Trust
25	823.	Adrian J.R. Oosthuizen
26	824.	Catherine Oppio, individually and/or on behalf of the Catherine D. Oppio Trust Dated
27	2/11/04	Camerine Oppio, marridually and of on behalf of the Camerine D. Oppio Trust Dates
28		
	825.	John E. O'Riordan

**PAGE 96 OF 117** 

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 97 of 117

1	826.	Sonhild A. O'Riordan
2	827.	Veslav Orvin
3	828.	Aaron I. Osherow, individually and/or on behalf of the Osherow Trust Dated 9/11/89
4	829.	Paul Oster
5	830.	Franklin D. Ott, individually and/or on behalf of the Ott Family Revocable Trust
6 7	Dated 4/29/98	
8	831.	Kathryn R. Ott, individually and/or on behalf of the Ott Family Revocable Trust
9	Dated 4/29/98	
10	832.	William J. Ovca, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of Ovca Associates, Inc. Defined
11	Pension Plan	
12	833.	Lyn Owen
13	834.	David A. Palmer, individually and/or on behalf of the Palmer Family Trust UA
14	2/13/90	David 74. Familier, individually and/of on bentall of the Familier Family 11 dist of
15	2/13/90	
16	835.	Nanci S. Palmintere, individually and/or on behalf of the Palmintere Revocable Trust
17	Dated 6/19/98	
18	836.	Philip A. Palmintere, individually and/or on behalf of the Palmintere Revocable Trust
19	Dated 6/19/98	
20 21	837.	Harold E. Pals, individually and/or on behalf of the Harold E. Pals IRA
22	838.	Edward Panyrek, individually and/or on behalf of the Edward and Joan Panyrek Trust
23	Dated 8/11/05	
24	839.	Joan Panyrek, individually and/or on behalf of the Edward and Joan Panyrek Trust
25	Dated 8/11/05	
26	840.	Cynthia Ann Pardee, individually and/or on behalf of the Cynthia Ann Pardee Trust
27	Dated 6/20/03	
28	841.	Betty R. Pardo, individually and/or on behalf of the Betty R. Pardo IRA

**PAGE 97 OF 117** 

	Case 3:11-c	ev-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 98 of 117
1	842.	Lexey S. Parker
2	843.	Henry E. Pattison
3	844.	Ruth V. Pattison
4	845.	Shirley Payne
5	846.	Jennefer C. Peele, individually and/or on behalf of the Peele Spousal Trust Dated
6		Jenneter C. Teele, individually and/or on behalf of the Teele Spousar Trust Dated
7	2/10/87	
8	847.	Bill Penn, individually and/or on behalf of the Penn Family Trust Dated 1/20/90
9	848.	Isa Penn, individually and/or on behalf of the Penn Family Trust Dated 1/20/90
10	849.	Eric S. Perlman, individually and/or on behalf of Perlman Investment Partners L.P., a
11	California Lii	mited Partnership
12 13	850.	Lynn R. Perlman, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert H. and Lynn R.
14	Perlman Trus	t
15	851.	Robert H. Perlman, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert H. and Lynn R.
16	Perlman Trus	t
17	852.	Ronald K. Peters, individually and/or on behalf of the Peters Family Trust Dated
18	7/22/00	
19	853.	Andrew R. Peterson, individually and/or on behalf of the Andrew R. Peterson and
20	Sharon Peters	son 1991 Living Trust
21	854.	Sharon Peterson, individually and/or on behalf of the Andrew R. Peterson and Sharon
22		
23		1 Living Trust
24	855.	Robert D. Phillips
25	856.	Holly J. Pickerel
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	857.	Vickie Pieper, individually and/or on behalf of the Vickie Pieper Living Trust
28	858.	Jerry Pietryk
-0	859.	Leeann Pietryk

1	860.	Donald H. Pinsker
2	861.	Nellie Pleser, individually and/or on behalf of the Pleser Family Trust Dated 1/28/00
3	862.	Charles B. Plunkett, individually and/or on behalf of the Charles B. Plunket
4	Revocable Tr	ust Dated 10/25/94
5	863.	Arthur Polacheck
6	864.	Glorianne Polacheck
7		
8	865.	Patricia A. Pontak, individually and/or on behalf of the Pontak Wong Revocable
9	Trust Dated 1	/19/04
10	866.	Timothy J. Porter, individually and/or on behalf of the Timothy J. Porter IRA
11	867.	Clair W. Potter, individually and/or on behalf of the Clair W. Potter Trust
12	868.	Martha W. Potter, individually and/or on behalf of the Martha W. Potter Revocable
13	Trust	
14	869.	Hans J. Prakelt
15		
16	870.	Anthony Prescia, individually and/or on behalf of the Anthony and Nancy Prescia
17	Family Trust	
18	871.	Nancy Prescia, individually and/or on behalf of the Anthony and Nancy Prescia
19	Family Trust	
20	872.	James C. Presswood, individually and/or on behalf of James C. Presswood IRA
21 22	873.	Sharon E. Presswood, individually and/or on behalf of Sharon Presswood IRA
23	874.	Charlene J. Prins, individually and/or on behalf of the Norman and Charlene Prins
24	Revocable Liv	ving Trust Dated 10/29/03
25	875.	Norman D. Prins, individually and/or on behalf of the Norman and Charlene Prins
26		
27	Revocable Li	ving Trust Dated 10/29/03
28	876.	Lori A. Procter

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 100 of 117

877.	Marion Quinn, individually and/or on behalf of the Quinn Family Trust Dated
1/11/00	
878.	Russell Quinn, individually and/or on behalf of the Quinn Family Trust Dated
1/11/00	
879.	Dennis Raggi
880.	Irit Raichbart
881.	Michael Raichbart
882.	John M. Randall, individually and/or on behalf of the Randall Revocable Living Trust
Dated 5/17/9	0
883.	Shirley A. Randall, individually and/or on behalf of the Randall Revocable Living
Trust Dated 5	5/17/90
	Benita M. Rashall
	Charles P. Rashall, individually and/or on behalf of the Charles P. Rashall Living
	Charles 1. Rushan, marriadany and or on centar or the Charles 1. Rushan Erring
	Elan Reddell, individually and/or on behalf of the Elan Reddell Revocable Living
887.	Lisa K. Redfern
888.	Cynthia Reed
889.	Linda S. Reed, individually and/or on behalf of the Linda S. Reed IRA
890.	Michael Reed, individually and/or on behalf of the Michael Reed IRA
891.	Mary Ann Rees, individually and/or on behalf of the Mary Ann Rees IRA and the
Noel and Ma	ry Ann Rees Family Trust
892.	Noel E. Rees, individually and/or on behalf of the Noel E. Rees IRA and the Noel and
Mary Ann Re	ees Family Trust
	1/11/00  878.  1/11/00  879.  880.  881.  882.  Dated 5/17/96  883.  Trust Dated 5  884.  885.  Trust  886.  Trust Dated 8  887.  888.  889.  890.  891.  Noel and Mares 1992.

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 101 of 117

1		893.	Annemarie Rehberger, individually and/or on behalf of the Rehberger Family Trust
2	Dated	6/17/92	2, Acres Profit Sharing Plan, Acres Corporation, a Nevada Corporation, and Acres
3	Corpoi	ration [	Defined Benefit Pension Plan
4		894.	Jeana L. Rehberger
5		895.	Michael C. Rehberger
6		896.	Linda C. Reid
7 8		897.	Mark Rendon, individually and/or on behalf of Stellar Innovations, Inc.
9		898.	Anna Reynolds
10		899.	·
11			Emil Reynolds
12		900.	Michael H. Ricci
13		901.	M. Anne Riccio
14		902.	Jean G. Richards, individually and/or on behalf of the Jean G. Richards Trust Dated
15	9/30/99	9	
16		903.	Marvin Rickling, individually and/or on behalf of the Rickling Family Trust
17		904.	Rosemary Rickling, individually and/or on behalf of the Rickling Family Trust
18		905.	Rachel Riehle
19		906.	Harvey Andrew Rineer III, individually and/or on behalf of the Harvey Andrew
20   21	Rineer	III Tru	ast Dated 11/15/02
22		907.	Dorothy Rizzuto, individually and/or on behalf of the Joseph N. Rizzuto Family Trust
23		908.	Joseph N. Rizzuto, individually and/or on behalf of the Joseph N. Rizzuto Family
24	Trust		
25		909.	Cassandra J. Robbins
26		910.	Donna R. Roberts
27		911.	Robert W. Roberts
28		912.	Alan Robinson
		<b>714.</b>	AIGH KOUHSUH

**PAGE 101 OF 117** 

Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 102 of 117		
913. Gail Robinson		
914. Judith Robinson, individually and/or on behalf of the Judith Robinson Revoca	ble	
Trust		
915. Robert R. Rodriguez, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert R. Rodriguez	ıez	
Revocable Trust Dated 1/31/06		
916. Elisabeth Rogal		
917. Michael G. Rogal		
918. James W. Rogers		
919. Rebecca A. Rogers, individually and/or on behalf of the Rebecca A. Rogers Tr	ust	
Dated 9/18/96		
920. Ilene Roisentul, individually and/or on behalf of the Roisentul Family Trust Da	ted	
9/18/96		
921. Saul Roisentul, individually and/or on behalf of the Roisentul Family Trust Da	ted	
9/18/96		
922. Anita Rosenfield		
923. Deborah J. Rosensein		
924. Stuart M. Rosenstein		
925. Arnold Rosenthal		
926. David Rosner, individually and/or on behalf of the David Rosner Revocable Tr	ust	
Dated 1/5/2005		
927. Lee Rotchy, individually and/or on behalf of the Lee Rotchy Trust dated 12/5/00		
928. Kathleen M. Rowley, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert J. Rowley a	and	
Kathleen M. Rowley Trust		
929. Robert J. Rowley, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert J. Rowley and Kathle	een	

M. Rowley Trust

PAGE 102 OF 117

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 103 of 117

1	930.	Mary E. Russell, individually and/or on behalf of the Russell Revocable Trust Dated
2	9/21/93	
3	931.	Ingrid A. Rutherford, individually and/or on behalf of the Ingrid A. Rutherford
4	Family Trust	Dated 7/8/99
5	932.	Burton M. Sack, individually and/or on behalf of the Scott A. Sack Irrevocable Trust
6 7	Dated 3/18/94	and the David A. Sack Irrevocable Trust Dated 3/28/94
8	933.	Scott A. Sack
9	934.	Gregory V. Sak, individually and/or on behalf of the Sak Family Trust Dated
10	12/17/04	
11	935.	Jana L. Sak, individually and/or on behalf of the Sak Family Trust Dated 12/17/04
12	936.	Daniel N. Salerno, individually and/or on behalf of the Daniel and Virginia Salerno
13	Family Trust	Dated 8/9/89
14 15	937.	Virginia P. Salerno, individually and/or on behalf of the Daniel and Virginia Salerno
16	Family Trust	
17	938.	Murray Salit, individually and/or on behalf of Yankee Holdings LLC, an Arizona
18	Corporation	
19	939.	Randy M. Sanchez, individually and/or on behalf of the Randy Sanchez IRA and the
20		ng Trust Dated 10/13/03
21	940.	Sharon Sanchez, individually and/or on behalf of the Sanchez Living Trust Dated
22   23	10/13/03	Sharon Sanenez, marriadany and or on behan or the Sanenez Brying Trust Bates
23 24	941.	Helena Sarmanian
25	942.	Peter Sarmanian
26		
27	943.	Mark A. Sauceda  Vannath D. Sauver
28	944.	Kenneth D. Sawyer

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 104 of 117

1		945.	Howard C. Sayler, individually and/or on behalf of the Sayler Family Trust Dated
2	9/2/98		
3		946.	Phyllis L. Sayler, individually and/or on behalf of the Sayler Family Trust Dated
4	9/2/98		
5		947.	Edward J. Scheidegger, individually and/or on behalf of the Scheidegger Family
6	Truct I		2/26/01
7	liusti		
8		948.	Robert A. Schell, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert A. Schell IRA and the
9	Schell	Family	Trust Dated 8/21/92
10		949.	Ruth M. Schell, individually and/or on behalf of the Schell Family Trust Dated
11	8/21/92	2	
12		950.	Janet E. Schnadt, individually and/or on behalf of the Schnadt Trust Dated 6/18/93
13			
14		951.	William E. Schnadt, individually and/or on behalf of the Schnadt Trust Dated 6/18/93
15		952.	Arthur P. Schnitzer, individually and/or on behalf of the Schnitzer Living Trust Dated
16	10/29/9	91	
17		953.	Lynn S. Schnitzer, individually and/or on behalf of the Schnitzer Living Trust Dated
18	10/29/9	91	
19		954.	Edward L. Schoonover, individually and/or on behalf of the Schoonover Family Trust
20			
21	Dated	2/23/04	
22		955.	Susan A. Schoonover, individually and/or on behalf of the Schoonover Family Trust
23	Dated	2/23/04	
24		956.	Kenneth Schulz
25		957.	Mary Kay Bryan-Schulz
26		958.	A. Andrew Schwarzman
27		959.	Shirley E. Schwartz, individually and/or on behalf of the Shirley E. Schwartz
28	_		
	Revoca	able Liv	ving trust Dated 8/19/94

**PAGE 104 OF 117** 

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 105 of 117

1		960.	Garrett Scott, individually and/or on behalf of Rare Earth Real Estate
2		961.	Mary E. Scott, individually and/or on behalf of Rare Earth Real Estate
3		962.	Barbara A. Senfeld
4		963.	Milton B. Senfeld
5		964.	Nancy C. Serino, individually and/or on behalf of the Nancy C. Serino IRA
7		965.	Ronald C. Shackelford, individually and/or on behalf of the Shackelford Family Trust
8	Dated !	9/21/04	
9		966.	Steven A. Shane, individually and/or on behalf of the Steven A. Shane IRA
10		967.	Ira Jay Shapiro
11		968.	Robert Shapiro
12		969.	Marion C. Sharp, individually and/or on behalf of the Davis Family Trust and the
13   14	Marior	n C. Sha	arp Trust, the Marion C. Sharp IRA
15		970.	James W. Shaw, individually and/or on behalf of the James W. Shaw IRA
16		971.	Sue D. Robinson-Shaw
17		972.	Evelyn Sheerin, individually and/or on behalf of the Chris H. Sheerin and Evelyn
18	Asher	Sheerin	1984 Trust
19		973.	Joann Sheerin, individually and/or on behalf of Sheerin, Inc., a Nevada Corporation
20   21		974.	Ralph A. Shively
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$		975.	Kenneth Shope, individually and/or on behalf of Billy Shope, Jr. Family Limited
23	Partnei	rship	
24		976.	Glenda Lambert Sibley, individually and/or on behalf of the Glenda Lambert Sibley
25	IRA		
26		977.	Sydney J. Siemens, individually and/or on behalf of the Sydney J. Siemens 1997
27	Revoca	able Tru	ast Dated 5/23/97
28		978.	Leslie P. Siggs
	l		

**PAGE 105 OF 117** 

	0436 3.11-0	7-00210-RC3 -VI C Document 33 Thed 04/13/11 Tage 100 01 117
1	979.	Robert G. Sikorski
2	980.	Alan R. Simmons
3	981.	Judith B. Simmons
4	982.	Alan Simon, individually and/or on behalf of the Simon Family Trust 2000
5	983.	Carol Simon, individually and/or on behalf of the Simon Family Trust 2000
6	984.	James B. Simpson, individually and/or on behalf of the Simpson Family Trust Dated
7 8	1/13/04	Junes B. Shinpson, marvidually und/of on behalf of the Shinpson Fulling Trust Buted
9		Jonet D. Cinneau, individually and/on on habelf of the Cinneau Family Tweet Dated
10	985.	Janet D. Simpson, individually and/or on behalf of the Simpson Family Trust Dated
11	1/13/04	
12	986.	Tara Sindler
13	987.	Berta Naomi Singer
14	988.	Leon E. Singer, individually and/or on behalf of the Leon E. Singer and Suzy Singer
15	Revocable Tr	ust Dated 6/30/99
16	989.	Suzy Singer, individually and/or on behalf of the Leon E. Singer and Suzy Singer
17	Revocable Tr	ust Dated 6/30/99
18	990.	Dennis Sipiorski
19	991.	Donna Sipiorski
20   21	992.	Daryl B. Sisk, individually and/or on behalf of The Sisk Family Foundation
22	993.	Barbara Sklar, individually and/or on behalf of the Barbara Sklar Revocable Living
23	Trust Dated 8	7/31/01
24	994.	Susan M. Slater
25	995.	Herbert Slovis
26	996.	Julie B. Slovis
27	997.	Charles H. Small, individually and/or on behalf of the Charles Henry Small
28	Revocable Li	
	Ite vocable LI	Ting Truot

PAGE 106 OF 117

### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 107 of 117

1 998. Bradford H. Smith 2 999. Joyce E. Smith, individually and/or on behalf of the Joyce E. Smith Trust Dated 3 11/3/99 4 1000. Maggie Smith 5 1001. Margaret M. Smith, individually and/or on behalf of the Raymond M. Smith 6 Revocable Trust Dated 3/12/79 7 1002. M.L. Smith, individually and/or on behalf of the M.L. and Pauline Smith Family 8 9 **Living Trust** 10 1003. Oliver R. Smith, individually and/or on behalf of the Oliver F. Smith Incorporated 11 Profit Sharing Plan 12 1004. Pauline Smith, individually and/or on behalf of the M.L. and Pauline Smith Family 13 Living Trust 14 1005. Raymond M. Smith, individually and/or on behalf of the Raymond M. Smith 15 16 Revocable Trust Dated 3/12/79 17 1006. Frank Snopko, individually and/or on behalf of the Snopko 1981 Trust Dated 18 10/27/81, Rocklin/Redding LLC, and the Charlotte Snopko Marital Trust Dated 8/31/04 19 1007. Heidi Snow 20 1008. Jack Snow 21 1009. Herbert Sonnenklar 22 1010. Norma R. Sonnenklar 23 24 1011. Francesco Soro, individually and/or on behalf of the Francesco Soro Retirement Plan 25 1012. Barbara J. Speckert, individually and/or on behalf of the Barbara J. Speckert 26 Revocable Living Trust Dated 6/9/05 27 1013. Robert S. Speckert, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert Speckert IRA and the 28 Robert S. Speckert Revocable Living Trust Dated 6/11/92

PAGE 107 OF 117

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 108 of 117

1		1014.	Gilbert L. Sperry, individually and/or on behalf of the Sperry Family Trust Dated
2	4/15/97	7	
3		1015.	Charles J. Spina, individually and/or on behalf of the Spina Family Trust Dated
4	3/8/01		
5		1016.	Nancy H. Spina, individually and/or on behalf of the Spina Family Trust Dated 3/8/01
6			Clifton H. Spindle
7 8			Verna R. Spindle
9			-
10		1019.	Carol A. Squicci, individually and/or on behalf of the Carol A. Squicci Revocable
11	Trust		
12		1020.	Arnold Stairman, individually and/or on behalf of the Arnold Stairman Profit Sharing
13	Plan		
14		1021.	Rosalind L. Stark, individually and/or on behalf of the Stark Family Trust Dated
15	4/2/84		
16		1022.	Donna Stein, on behalf of Athanasia T. Stein
17		1023.	Jay S. Stein, individually and/or on behalf of the Jay S. Stein IRA and the Jay S. Stein
18	2000 T	rust Da	ated 12/12/00 and the Jay S. Stein Charitable Remainder Unitrust Dated 7/15/02
19		1024.	Cynthia M. Steinmetz, individually and/or on behalf of the 2001 Steinmetz Family
20	Trust		
21		1025.	Nicholas A. Steinmetz, individually and/or on behalf of the 2001 Steinmetz Family
22	Trust	1020.	1 (1010) 1 1 (100) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
23	Trust	1000	
24   25			Don Stephenson, individually and/or on behalf of Amberway Equities LLC
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		1027.	David G. Sterling, individually and/or on behalf of the Sterling Living Trust Dated
20   27	2/2/00		
28		1028.	Joseph Sterling, individually and/or on behalf of the Sterling Family Trust Dated
	6/14/02	2	

**PAGE 108 OF 117** 

# Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 109 of 117 1029. Mary Jane Sterling, individually and/or on behalf of the Sterling Living Trust Dated 2/2/00 1030. Theresa Sterling, individually and/or on behalf of the Sterling Family Trust Dated 6/14/02 1031. Mary Jude Stewart, individually and/or on behalf of the Stewart Family Trust Dated 1/15/98 1032. Michael D. Stewart, individually and/or on behalf of the Stewart Family Trust Dated 1/15/98 1033. Gordon N. Stimpson, individually and/or on behalf of the Stimpson Family Trust

- Dated 5/9/00

  1034. Marjorie I. Stimpson, individually and/or on behalf of the Stimpson Family Trust
- 1034. Marjorie I. Stimpson, individually and/or on behalf of the Stimpson Family Trust

  Dated 5/9/00
  - 1035. George F. Stone
  - 1036. Margaret A. Stone
  - 1037. Denise Storch, individually and/or on behalf of the Storch Family Trust Dated 5/3/04
  - 1038. Ralph R. Storch, individually and/or on behalf of the Ralph R. Storch IRA and Storch
- Family Trust Dated 5/3/04

- 1039. Lesley Stricker
  - 1040. Elizabeth Stryks Shaw
  - 1041. Rand Sullivan
  - 1042. Robert Sullivan, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert Sullivan IRA
- 1043. John Sumpolec, Jr., individually and/or on behalf of the Sumpolec 1989 Trust Dated 4/13/89
  - 1044. Robert A. Susskind

1	1045.	Alvin M. Swanson, individually and/or on behalf of the Alvin M. Swanson and Grace
2	E. Swanson F	amily Trust Dated 9/14/94
3	1046.	Grace E. Swanson, individually and/or on behalf of the Alvin M. Swanson and Grace
4	E. Swanson F	amily Trust Dated 9/14/94
5	1047.	Leland K. Swanson, Sr., individually and/or on behalf of the Alvin M. Swanson and
7	Grace E. Swa	nson Family Trust Dated 9/14/94
8	1048.	Lena M. Swanson, individually and/or on behalf of the Alvin M. Swanson and Grace
9	E. Swanson F	amily Trust Dated 9/14/94
10	1049.	Lois J. Swanson
11	1050.	Beverly Swezey, individually and/or on behalf of the Donald Swezey and Beverly W.
12	Swezey Trust	Dated 2/20/01
13 14	1051.	Donald Swezey, individually and/or on behalf of the Donald Swezey and Beverly W.
15	Swezey Trust	Dated 2/20/01
16	1052.	Virginia Swilley, individually and/or on behalf of Laguna Paloma, Inc., a Texas
17	Corporation	
18	1053.	Ray Syfert, individually and/or on behalf of the Syfert Trust
19	1054.	Irene Markham-Tafoya
20	1055.	Carole Talan
21 22	1056.	Cyril Tammadge, individually and/or on behalf of Preswick Corp., a Nevada
23	Corporation	
24	1057.	Stanley Francis Tara, individually and/or on behalf of Sovereign Capital Advisors,
25	LLC, a Nevad	la Limited Liability company
26	1058.	Elizabeth Tarr
27	1059.	Wayne P. Tarr
28		

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 111 of 117

1	1060.	Joyce L. Taylor, individually and/or on behalf of the Taylor Living Trust Dated
2	2/27/98	
3	1061.	Kerry S. Taylor, individually and/or on behalf of the Taylor Living Trust Dated
4	2/27/98	
5	1062.	Kevin Taylor, individually and/or on behalf of the Kevin Taylor IRA and KTaylor
6 7	GO Investme	nts, Ltd., a Texas Company,
8		Louise Teeter, individually and/or on behalf of the Louise Teeter IRA Rollover
9		Norman Teeter
10		Joan B. Telloian
11		
12		Malcolm Telloian, Jr.
13	1067.	Lawrence H. Tengan, individually and/or on behalf of the Lawrence H. Tengan and
14	Lorraine K. T	engan Revocable Trust
15	1068.	Lorraine K. Tengan, individually and/or on behalf of the Lawrence H. Tengan and
16	Lorraine K. T	engan Revocable Trust
17	1069.	Calvin Terrill, individually and/or on behalf of the Terrill Family Revocable Living
18	Trust Dated 3	/11/02
19	1070.	David M. Thatcher
20	1071.	Gary A. Thibault
21   22	1072.	Sandra C. Thibault
23	1073.	Bryan M. Thomas
24	1074.	Lori M. Thomas
25		Daryl D. Thompson, individually and/or on behalf of the Thompson 1993 Trust Dated
26	1/26/93	,
27		Gregory R. Thompson
28		
	1077.	Wilma Jean Thompson

**PAGE 111 OF 117** 

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 112 of 117

1	1078.	Jack S. Tiano, individually and/or on behalf of an Accountancy Corporation Profit
2	Sharing	
3	1079.	Norman Tiano
4	1080.	Douglas Tichenor
5	1081.	Susan Tichenor
7	1082.	Hillari Tischler
8	1083.	Yit Tisoni
9	1084.	Laura J. Tomac
10	1085.	Steven B. Tomac
11	1086.	Dorothy R. Tomlin, individually and/or on behalf of the Donald S. Tomlin and
12	Dorothy R. T	omlin Revocable Trust Dated 10/24/79
13   14	1087.	Donald S. Tomlin, individually and/or on behalf of the Donald S. Tomlin and
15	Dorothy R. T	omlin Revocable Trust Dated 10/24/79
16	1088.	Dorothy Ton, individually and/or on behalf of the Ton 1998 Revocable Trust
17	1089.	James Gee Ton, individually and/or on behalf of the Ton 1998 Revocable Trust
18	1090.	Richard Tracy, individually and/or on behalf of the Reno Aeronautical Corporation
19	Defined Bene	efit Plan
20	1091.	Ursula W. Tracy
21 22	1092.	Anton Trapman, individually and/or on behalf of the Anton Trapman Roth IRA and
23	Anton Trapm	an IRA
24	1093.	Irene Trapman
25	1094.	Rory Triantos
26	1095.	John M. Tripp, individually and/or on behalf of the Tripp Family Trust 1997
27		
28		

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 113 of 117

1	1096.	Warren W. Tripp, individually and/or on behalf of T-2 Enterprises LLC, Tripp
2	Enterprises, In	nc., a Nevada Corporation, Tripp Enterprises, Inc. Restated Profit Sharing Plan, T-3
3	Enterprises LI	LC C
4	1097.	Carlos A. Trujillo
5	1098.	Carol I. Turner, individually and/or on behalf of the Turner Family Trust Dated
6   7	12/15/2000	
8	1099.	Paul M. Turner, individually and/or on behalf of the Turner Family Trust Dated
9	12/15/2000	
10	1100.	Judy Turner, individually and/or on behalf of the T.J. Trust Dated 7/24/97
11		Thomas R. Turner, individually and/or on behalf of the T.J. Trust Dated 7/24/97
12		Peggy A. Valley, individually and/or on behalf of the Peggy Ann Valley IRA, The
13		Valley Trust Dated 2/24/97, and Vanguard Financial, Ltd.
14		
15		Gilbert Van Damme
16	1104.	Eleanor Varelli
17	1105.	Nancy B. Ventura
18   19	1106.	Roy R. Ventura, Jr.
20	1107.	Marsha Vieira
21	1108.	Melody Violet
22	1109.	Marietta Voglis
23	1110.	Jackie Ray Vohs
24	1111.	Christiane Volpel, individually and/or on behalf of the Volpel Trust Dated 2/2/96
25	1112.	Gunter Volpel, individually and/or on behalf of the Volpel Trust Dated 2/2/96
26	1113.	Richard G. Vrbancic
27	1114.	Robert R. Wade, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert R. Wade Revocable
28	Trust Dated 5/	/22/01

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 114 of 117

1	1115.	Shirley E. Wade, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert R. Wade Revocable
2	Trust Dated 5	5/22/01
3	1116.	Kirsten Wagner
4	1117.	Linda M. Walker
5 6	1118.	Bruce D. Wallace, individually and/or on behalf of the B.D. W. 1987 Trust Dated
7	9/29/87	
8	1119.	Ellen Walls, individually and/or on behalf of the Walls Family Trust Dated 12/10/97
9	1120.	Joseph P. Walls, individually and/or on behalf of the Walls Family Trust Dated
10	12/10/97	
11	1121.	Dennis J. Ward, individually and/or on behalf of the Ward Trust Dated 5/21/96
12	1122.	Gary D. Ward, individually and/or on behalf of the Gary D. Ward IRA
13   14	1123.	Patricia A. Ward, individually and/or on behalf of the Ward Trust Dated 5/21/96
15	1124.	Carl M. Warfield
16	1125.	Laura W. Warfield
17	1126.	Colleen Weaver
18	1127.	John Weaver
19	1128.	Patricia Ann Webber, individually and/or on behalf of the Webber Family Trust
20	Dated 10/31/8	
21		Brigitte S. Weber
22   23		Heinrich R. Weber
23 24		Norm Webster
25		Ardis Weible, individually and/or on behalf of the Weible 1981 Trust Dated 6/30/81
26		Dean F. Weible, individually and/or on behalf of the Weible 1981 Trust Dated
27	6/30/81	Dean 1. Welole, marvidually and/of on behalf of the Welble 1901 Hust Dated
28	0/30/61	

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 115 of 117

1	1134.	Jerrold Weinstein, individually and/or on behalf of the Jerrold Weinstein Sel-
2	Declaration T	rust Dated 6/7/91
3	1135.	Andrew Welcher, individually and/or on behalf of the Welcher Family Trust Dated
4	7/13/99	
5	1136.	Rosanne Welcher, individually and/or on behalf of the Welcher Family Trust Dated
6 7	7/13/99	
8		Karen K. Wener
9		Kenneth A. Wener, individually and/or on behalf of the Kenneth A. Wener IRA
10		
11		Tina M. Wener, individually and/or on behalf of the Tina M. Wener IRA
12		Lawrence D. Wengert, individually and/or on behalf of the Wengert Family Trus
13	Dated 2/20/98	
14	1141.	Dawn M. Wengert, individually and/or on behalf of the Wengert Family Trust Dated
15	2/20/98	
16	1142.	Connie Westbrook
17	1143.	Rachel Wheeler, individually and/or on behalf of the Rachel Wheeler Trust
18	1144.	Mary Etta White
19	1145.	Robert White
20	1146.	Eugene C. Wiehe, individually and/or on behalf of the Eugene C. Wiehe Trust Dated
21	10/31/85	
22		Gail Wilgar
23		
24 25		Scott Wilgar
26	1149.	Barton R. Wilkinson
27	1150.	Dianna J. Wilkinson, individually and/or on behalf of the Dianna Wilkinson IRA
28	1151.	Joy Williams
	1	

1152.	Richard J. Williams, individually and/or on behalf of the Richard J. Williams Living	
Trust Dated 12/14/01		
1153.	Carmel Winkler, individually and/or on behalf of the Winkler Family Trust Dated	
3/13/86		
1154.	Rudolf Winkler, individually and/or on behalf of the Rudolf Winkler IRA and the	
  Winkler Fami	ly Trust Dated 3/13/86	
1155.	Doris E. Winter, individually and/or on behalf of the Doris E. Winter Trust	
1156.	Craig Wisch	
1157.	Kathryn Wolfe, individually and/or on behalf of the LK Wolfe Family LP, a Nevada	
Limited Partn	ership	
1158.	Loren Wolfe, individually and/or on behalf of the LK Wolfe Family LP, a Nevada	
	Marlin L. Wonders	
1160.	R. Yvonne Wonders	
1161.	Darrell M. Wong, individually and/or on behalf of the Pontak Wong Revocable Trust	
Dated 1/19/04		
1162.	Richard D. Wood, individually and/or on behalf of the Wood Family Living Trust	
1163.	Robert D. Woods, individually and/or on behalf of the Wood Family Trust	
1164.	Cynthia Work, individually and/or on behalf of the Work Holdings, Inc., an Arizona	
Corporation		
1165.	Maryanne H. Worthing	
1166.	Ralph E. Worthing	
1167.	James C. Wu, individually and/or on behalf of The Wu Family Trust Dated 6/19/1991	
1168.	Jeanne K. Wu, individually and/or on behalf of The Wu Family Trust Dated	
6/19/1991		
	Trust Dated 1 1153. 3/13/86 1154. Winkler Fami 1155. 1156. 1157. Limited Partn 1158. Limited Partn 1159. 1160. 1161. Dated 1/19/04 1162. 1163. 1164. Corporation 1165. 1166. 1167. 1168.	

PAGE 116 OF 117

#### Case 3:11-cv-00210-RCJ -VPC Document 35 Filed 04/13/11 Page 117 of 117

1	1169.	Kenneth H. Wyatt, individually and/or on behalf of the Kenneth H. Wyatt IRA, Kiwi-
2	Nevada LP, ar	nd the Kenneth H. and Phyllis P. Wyatt Family Trust
3	1170.	Phyllis P. Wyatt, individually and/or on behalf of the Kenneth H. and Phyllis P
4	Wyatt Family	Trust and the Phyllis P. Wyatt IRA
5	1171.	Anthony P. Wynn
6 7	1172.	Sheri J. Wynn
8	1173.	Mazal Yerushalmi
9	1174.	Robert J. Yoder, individually and/or on behalf of the Robert J. Yoder Defined Benefi
10	Plan	
11	1175.	Percy Young
12	1176.	Ruth Young
13		Richard L. Younge, individually and/or on behalf of the Richard L. Younge IRA
14 15	1178.	Allen Zalkind
16	1179.	
17	1180.	Shahriar Zavosh
18		Joseph G. Zappulla
19		Carol A. Zappulla
20		Ken Zawacki, individually and/or on behalf of Zawacki, a California LLC
21		·
22		Anthony J. Zerbo
23	1185.	Marshall R. Zerbo
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		Franz J. Zimmer, individually and/or on behalf of the Franz J. Zimmer Revocable
26	Trust Dated 2	
27		Terry Zimmerman
28		Mark Zipkin
	1189. 5240375.2	All Other Similarly Situated Direct Lenders Represented By Bickel & Brewer
	12403/2.4	D. op 115 op 115

**PAGE 117 OF 117** 

2064-02